

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
7 September 2001 (07.09.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/64024 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A01H 5/02**,
5/10, 13/00, C12N 1/20, 1/12, 5/04, 15/29, 15/32, 15/52,
15/31, 15/17, 15/13, 15/63, 15/74, 15/82

(US). **MOAR, William** [US/US]; 309 Samford Hall,
Auburn University, AL 36849 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/06276

(74) Agents: **WEISER, Gerard, J. et al.**; 1600 Market Street,
Suite 3600, Philadelphia, PA 19103-7286 (US).

(22) International Filing Date: 28 February 2001 (28.02.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:

60/185,660	29 February 2000 (29.02.2000)	US
60/257,408	20 December 2000 (20.12.2000)	US
60/259,248	29 December 2000 (29.12.2000)	US
60/266,121	2 February 2001 (02.02.2001)	US

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM,
HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK,
LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX,
MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL,
TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GI, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SI, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,
CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicants (*for all designated States except US*):
AUBURN UNIVERSITY [US/US]; 309 Samford Hall,
Auburn University, AL 36849 (US). **UNIVERSITY OF
CENTRAL FLORIDA** [US/US]; 4000 Central Florida
Boulevard, Orlando, FL 32816 (US).

Published:

— *with international search report*

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **DANIELL, Henry**
[US/US]; 1255 Marina Point #315, Casselberry, FL 32707

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

WO 01/64024 A1

(54) Title: MULTIPLE GENE EXPRESSION FOR ENGINEERING NOVEL PATHWAYS AND HYPEREXPRESSION OF FOREIGN PROTEINS IN PLANTS

(57) Abstract: Introducing blocks of foreign genes in a single operon would avoid complications such as position effect and gene silencing inherent in putting one gene at a time into random locations in the nuclear genome. Cloning several genes into a single T-DNA does not avoid the compounded variable expression problem encountered in nuclear transgenic plants. This disclosure shows that a bacterial operon can be expressed in a single integration event as opposed to multiple events requiring several years to accomplish. Expression of multiple genes via a single transformation event opens the possibility of expressing foreign pathways or pharmaceutical proteins involving multiple genes. Expressing the Cry2aA2 operon, including a putative chaperonin to aid in protein folding, in the chloroplast via a single transformation event leads to production of crystalized insecticidal proteins. Expressing the Mer operon via a single transformation event leads to a phytoremediation system.

1 **MULTIPLE GENE EXPRESSION FOR ENGINEERING NOVEL PATHWAYS AND**
 HYPEREXPRESSION OF FOREIGN PROTEINS IN PLANTS

 RELATED APPLICATIONS

 This patent application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Applications No. 60/185,660,
6 filed 2/29/00, 60/257,408, filed 12/22/00, 60/259,248 filed 12/29/2000 and 60/266,121 filed 2/2/01.
All applications are here incorporated by reference.

 STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED FEDERAL RESEARCH

 The work of this invention is supported in part by the USDA-NRICGP grants 95-82770,
11 97-35504 and 98-0185 to Henry Daniell.

 FIELD OF INVENTION

 This application pertains to the field of genetic engineering of plant genomes, particularly
plastids and to methods of and engineered plants with operons that lead to and result in
16 overexpression of the gene of interest. This application also pertains to the field of genetic
engineering of algal and bacterial genomes.

 DESCRIPTION OF RELATED ART

 Karamata, in U.S. patent No. 4,797,279, proposed the generation of *Bacillus thuringiensis*
21 hybrids that have insecticidal properties through conjugation. Conjugation is mediated by a
conjugative plasmid functional in the B.t. kurstaki strain and the B.t. tenebrionis strain. The resulting
hybrid is capable of producing each of the delta-endotoxin crystals typical for a B.t. kurstaki strain
and a B.t. tenebrionis strain.

 McBride, in U.S. patent No. 5,545,818 and McBride et. al. (1995), describes a method of
26 genetically engineering the plastids of a plant or plant cell such they provide increased expression of
the *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal proteins in the plastids. A construct containing a promoter
functional in plant plastids, a single gene encoding an insecticidal *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin, another
DNA sequence encoding a selectable marker, and a transcription termination region capable of
terminating transcription in a plant plastid, is used to affect plant transformation. The transcription
31 and translation of the B.t. gene product occurs in the plastids.

1 Daniell et. al., in U. S. patent 5,932,479 (1999), entitled "Genetic engineering of plant
chloroplast," teaches plant cells chloroplast transformed by means of an expression cassette
comprising an exogenous DNA sequence which is stably integrated to the chloroplast genome of the
cell of a target plant. "Stably" integrated DNA sequences are those which are inherited through
genome replication by daughter cells or organisms. This stability is exhibited by the ability to
6 establish permanent cell lines, clones, or transgenic plants comprised of a population containing the
exogenous DNA.

Likewise, U.S. patent 5,693,507 (1997) to Daniell and McFadden discloses such stable
integration of the chloroplast by means of an expression cassette which comprises an exogenous
DNA sequence which codes for a desired trait, and the transformed plant.

11 Daniell, in PCT International Publication WO 99/10513, teaches the composition and use of
universal chloroplast integration and expression by vectors to stably transform and integrate genes
of interest into chloroplast genome of multiple species of plants. This leads to chloroplast expression
of genes of interest. Transformed plants show the highest level of expression. Plants transformed
with insecticidal genes are lethal to insects that are 40,000-fold resistant to Bt. insecticidal proteins.

16 Significantly, in the prior art inventions use multiple promoters to drive the expression of
multiple genes. Put differently, the inventions of the prior art employ a single promoter to drive a
single monocistron. In contrast, the present invention employs a single promoter to drive
polycistrons, resulting in equal levels of expression of the polycistrons.

All publications and patents are hereby incorporated by reference.

21

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In plant and animal cells, nuclear mRNAs are translated monocistronically. This poses a
serious problem when engineering multiple genes in plants. Therefore, in order to express the
polyhydroxybutyrate polymer or Guy's 13 antibody, single genes were first introduced into individual
26 transgenic plants, then these plants were back-crossed to reconstitute the entire pathway or the
complete protein. Similarly, in a seven year-long effort, Ye et.al. recently introduced a set of three
genes for a short biosynthetic pathway that resulted in β -carotene expression in rice. In contrast,
most chloroplast genes of higher plants are co-transcribed. Multiple steps of chloroplast mRNA
processing are involved in the formation of mature mRNAs.

1 In accordance of the invention expression of polycistrons via the plastid genome, in particular the chloroplast genome, provides a unique opportunity to express entire pathways in a single transformation event. Additionally, chloroplast genetic engineering is an environmentally friendly approach resulting in containment of foreign genes and hyper-expression.

Plant bioremediation (phytoremediation), is the use of plants for *in-situ* restoration of
6 contaminated sites. The technique has risen in the last decade as a strong and safe technique to address with the increasing problems of the pollution of soil and water bodies. In the past other techniques, such as mechanical and bacterial bioremediation were implemented with little success, since they were costly and threatened the safety of our environment. Plants, on the other hand, are advantageous for bioremediation systems since they have a high capacity for adaptation to different
11 environments and a natural resistance against different toxic pollutants. They are cheap, non-evasive and help contain disrupted ecosystems. These characteristics make plants an ideal vehicle for bioremediation.

Mercury is a toxic heavy metal that is commonly released into the environment as a byproduct of different chemical reactions of modern industries. The present world production of mercury is
16 about 9000 tons/year (<http://www.chem.ualberta.ca/htm>). In the environment, mercury is rapidly methylated by methanogenic bacteria (Ex. *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*) producing the 10 fold more toxic organomercurials (Compeau et. al.) 1985; Gilmour et. al. 1992). Organomercurials are more toxic due to its increased hydrophobicity, which allows it to cross lipid membranes because it is more hydrophobic than mercury. Over 90% of the intake of methylmercury is absorbed into blood
21 compared with only 2% of inorganic mercury (<http://www.chem.ualberta.ca/htm>). Both organomercurials and mercury have the tendency to accumulate in the tissue, especially in the membrane bound organelles. In plants organic mercury crosses the lipid membrane of organelles, for example chloroplast, where it can poison essential oxidative and photosynthetic electron transport chains more easily than metallic mercury (Rugh et. al. 1996). In photosynthetic organisms, mercury
26 affects the oxygen-evolving complex that is found in the photosystem II and is bound to the thylakoid membrane (Bernier et al. 1993). Mercury treatment of PSII leads to a strong inhibition of oxygen evolution by removal of EP33 (one of the proteins of the OEE complex; Bernier et al. 1995). Mercury reduces the Fm and Fv values due to additional inhibitory sites on the donor side of PSII, including damage to the light-photochemistry (Rashid et al. 1990). Medical researchers discovered
31 that high levels of methylmercury cause severe neurological degeneration in birds, cats and humans

1 (Minamata Disease Research Group, 1968; Harada et. al. 1995). Thus, mercury and organomercurials are ideal targets for phytoremediation.

In water, mercury pollution also poses a problem. Mercury accumulates in the sediments of lakes and oceans where methanogenic bacteria live (<http://ehpnet.niehs.nih.gov>). These bacteria methylate mercury to produce methylmercury, which is eventually released into water (Harada et al. 1995). The methylmercury is trapped into the small fish when the water passes through their gills or they feed on phytoplanktons that carry high concentrations of the pollutant. Predatory fish, as bass in fresh water and tuna in salt water, live for long periods of time feeding on smaller fish. During their life span, they can accumulate high levels of methylmercury that can reach 1.0 ppm in normal water and 30 ppm in areas of high pollution with mercury (<http://ehpnet.niehs.nih.gov>) Then, humans and birds feed on contaminated fish and accumulation in their tissue cause severe neurological damage.

Meagher and colleagues have used a nuclear modified form of the merA and merB genes to transform plants that are resistant to mercury and organomercurials respectively (Bizily et al. 1999; Rugh et. al. 1996), U.S. patent 5,965,796 (1999). One of the drawbacks of nuclear genetic engineering is that it requires several back crosses to create the complete pathway that detoxifies mercury and organomercurials (Bizily et al. 2000). This results in variation in expression levels among different transgenic lines and tolerance to different concentrations of organomercurials, only in low levels of tolerance (10 μ M) (Bizily et al. 2000). Another concern of the use of nuclear transformed plants in-situ is the escape of the foreign genes via pollen (Daniell 1999; Bogorad, 2000).

21 The present invention provides a transgenic plant bioremediation system for soil as well as a transgenic algae/bacteria bioremediation system for water.

Non-obviousness of expression of operons via the chloroplast genome

Despite the potential advantages of chloroplasts for foreign gene expression, it was not obvious that multiple genes expressed by a single promoter in chloroplasts would be expressed in this organelle in a coordinated manner. Polycistrons have been observed in chloroplasts in the past but processing RNA sequences present in between individual transcripts, proteins or enzymes involved in processing or cofactors necessary for processing of polycistrons have not yet been characterized. Therefore, it was not obvious to one skilled in the art that multiple foreign gene transcripts would be properly processed and translated when expressed from a heterologous promoter.

1 Prior to this patent application there were no published reports of expression of multiple genes
in chloroplasts and there were valid reasons to suggest that it would be problematic. Indeed, despite
several reports of foreign gene expression via the chloroplast genome, no one ever attempted expression
of a bacterial operon via the chloroplast genome because of inadequate understanding of processing of
6 polycistrons within plastids. All foreign genes engineered via the plastid genome have been driven by
individual promoters and 3' regulatory sequences. It was not known whether 3' terminators and
regulatory sequences were necessary for individual genes of the foreign operon. It is generally believed
that the proteins or enzymes involved in processing may be under the control of the nuclear genome. It
was also believed that there may be several environmental factors involved in processing polycistrons,
including light.

11 While chloroplast ribosome binding sites have been characterized, it was not obvious that
ribosome binding sites or untranslated regions upstream of bacterial genes would function in plastids.
Also, it was not anticipated that a chaperonin present in a bacterial cell would function within
chloroplasts and help fold the foreign protein or interfere with folding of other chloroplast proteins. It
was certainly unanticipated that it was possible to create cuboidal crystals within chloroplasts duplicating
16 the functions of a bacterium during sporulation or duplicate bioremediation pathways within plastids.
There was no certainty that the enzymes of the pathway or proteins of the operon would be expressed
in a coordinated manner.

Indeed, the prior art suggested that there might have been unforeseen deleterious effects of high-
level expression of several foreign proteins within chloroplasts on plant growth or development that were
21 not apparent from the experiences with other transgenes. The pH and oxidation state of the chloroplast
differs from that of bacterial cells in ways that might inhibit or prevent functions of proteins or enzymes.
Because the results of this invention contradicted those teachings of the prior art, this invention was
characterized as breakthrough in plant biotechnology and featured on the cover of Nature Biotechnology
(the most prestigious biotechnology journal in the world) in January 2000. Scientists around the world
26 have written reviews subsequent to that publication appreciating this invention. Engineering multiple
genes in transgenic plants via the nuclear genome is not only extremely time consuming (taking several
years to accomplish) but is riddled with problems of position effect, gene silencing etc. Therefore, this
accomplishment was characterized as the holy-grail of plant biotechnology.

1

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

By this invention, plastid expression constructs are provided which are useful for genetic engineering of plant cells and which provide for enhanced expression of several foreign proteins in plastids utilizing a single transformation event. The transformed plastid is preferably a metabolically active plastid, such as the chloroplasts found in green and non-green plant tissues including leaves and other parts of the plant. This invention opens the door to engineering novel pathways for metabolic engineering and gene stacking, or for multi subunit complex proteins requiring stoichiometric and coordinated expression of multiple genes. The plastid is preferably one which is maintained at a high copy number in the plant tissue of interest.

The present invention is applicable to all plastids of plants. These include chromoplasts which are present in the fruits, vegetables and flowers; amyloplasts which are present in tubers like the potato; proplastids in roots; leucoplasts and etioplasts, both of which are present in non-green parts of plants.

The plastid expression constructs for use in this invention generally include a single plastid promoter region and multiple genes of interest to be expressed in transformed plastids. The DNA sequence of interest may contain a number of consecutive encoding regions, to be expressed as an operon, for example where introduction of a foreign biochemical pathway into plastids is desired for metabolic engineering or gene stacking. Plastid expression constructs of this invention is linked to a construct having a DNA sequence encoding a selectable marker which can be expressed in a plant plastid.

In a preferred embodiment, transformation vectors for transfer of the construct into a plant cell include means for inserting the expression and selection constructs into the plastid genome. This preferably comprises regions of homology to the target plastid genome which flank the constructs.

The chloroplast vector or constructs of the invention preferably include a universal chloroplast expression vector which is capable of importing a desired trait to a target plant species. Such a vector is competent for stably transforming the chloroplast genome of different plant species which comprises an expression cassette which is described further herein. Such a vector generally includes a plastid promoter region operative in said plant cells chloroplast, a gene which is linked to a multi-gene operon which includes an ORF which codes for a putative chaperonin which facilitates the folding of the protein to form proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals. Preferably, one or more DNA sequences of interest to be expressed in the transformed plastids.

1 The invention provides also a plastid vector comprising of a DNA construct. The DNA construct includes a 5' part of a plastid DNA sequence inclusive of a spacer sequence; a promoter that is operative in the plastid; at least a heterologous DNA sequence encoding multiple peptides of interest; a gene that confers resistance to a selectable marker; a multi-gene operon; a transcription termination region functional in the target plant cells; and a 3' part of the plastid DNA sequence inclusive of a spacer
6 sequence. The DNA construct is flanked by DNA sequences which are homologous to the spacer sequence of the target plastid genome. The plastid is preferably a chloroplast. The vector preferably includes a ribosome binding site and a 5' untranslated region (5'UTR). A promoter operative in the green and non-green plastids is to be used in conjunction with the 5'UTR,

11 The invention provides a promoter that is operative in the green and non-green plastids of the target plant cells such as the psbA promoter, rbcL promoter, atp β promoter region, accD promoter, and the 16SrRNA promoter..

 The invention provides a gene, which can be a mutant gene, that confers resistance, such as antibiotic resistance, to a selectable marker like the aadA gene.

16 The invention provides a cassette which can be modified to include a selectable marker, a gene encoding the chaperonin and any desired heterologous gene. Such applications will be beneficial for the high level production in plants of other desired protein products as well

21 Further, the invention preferably provides a three-gene insecticidal *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) operon which shows operon expression and crystal formation via the chloroplast genome. The operon comprises of three operably linked components which operate in concert as a biosynthetic pathway: a distal gene which codes for a insecticidal protein and two open reading frames (ORF). The two ORFs code for at least one molecule of interest and at least one chaperonin to assist in the folding of the insecticidal protein. The molecule of interest of this operon can be a peptide, an enzyme, a selectable marker, or a bio-pharmaceutical, including monoclonals.

26 This invention also provides for other three-gene operons, particularly insecticidal operon or the Cry2Aa2 operon. This invention also provides for operons of the Cry or Cyt series.

 An operon of this invention further provides that the second ORF (ORF2) codes for a putative chaperonin. A chaperonin is a molecule which facilitates the folding and assembly of proteins to form functional proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals. The ORF2 is operably linked to a gene encoding the

1 insecticidal protein. The invention provides a bacterial chaperonin that is capable of facilitating the folding and assembly of insecticidal proteins.

This invention also provides crystalized insecticidal proteins such as δ -endotoxin proteins, Cry proteins such as the Cry2Aa2 proteins, or Cyt proteins.

6 In accordance of the invention, the introduction blocks of foreign genes in a single operon would avoid complications inherent in nuclear transformation such as position effect and gene silencing in putting one gene at a time into random locations in the nuclear genome. Repeated use of a single promoter causes gene silencing (De Witte, C. et. al. 2000). Cloning several genes into a single T-DNA does not avoid the compounded variable expression problem encountered in nuclear transgenic plants. This invention shows that a bacterial operon can be expressed in a single integration event. Expression
11 of multiple genes via a single transformation event opens the possibility of expressing foreign pathways or pharmaceutical proteins involving multiple genes.

The invention provides for the demonstration of expression of a bacterial operon or polycistrons in transgenic plants and opens the door to engineer novel pathways in plants in a single transformation event.

16 The invention provides a single vector or construct (or cassette) which encodes more than one heterologous protein product. This embodiment of the invention provides that a heterologous DNA fragment that is introduced into a universal vector encodes more than one gene. In one example shown, this invention discloses, the DNA encodes an operon of three genes and produces proteins from at least two genes, one of those genes encode a protein and a chaperone protein. This aspect of the invention
21 – to co-expressing multiple genes – is beneficial if one skilled in the art desires to introduce a biosynthetic pathway that comprises multiple steps into plants. For example, a three step synthesis of a desired compound might require three different enzymes. A single transformation will generate a recombinant plant possessing all three heterologous enzymes which can function in concert to produce the desired product.

26 Thus another embodiment of the invention relates to the maximal production of a heterologous protein by co-expressing it with another polypeptide that induces crystallization of said protein. This aspect of the invention provides the yield of heterologous gene expression is greatly enhanced if the desired protein is in crystal form in the transformed plant. The increased yield is because the crystal form

1 of the proteins protected them from cellular proteases. This is accomplished by co-expressing the desired gene with a second gene encoding a chaperonin that directs crystallization.

Also, formation of crystals of foreign proteins opens a simple method of purification via centrifugation. Plants transformed with the cry2Aa2 operon of the invention show a large accumulation and improved persistence of the expressed insecticidal protein(s) throughout the life of the plant. This is most likely because of the folding of the insecticidal protein into cuboidal crystals, thereby protecting it from proteases. This is an environmentally friendly approach because folded crystals improve the safety of the Bt transgenic plants. In contrast to currently marketed transgenic plants that contain soluble CRY proteins, folded protoxin crystals will be processed only by those target insects that have high alkaline gut environment. In addition, absence of insecticidal protein in transgenic pollen eliminates toxicity to non-target insects via pollen. Expression of the cry2Aa2 operon in chloroplasts provides a model system for hyper-expression of foreign proteins in a folded configuration enhancing their stability and facilitating single step purification. This is the first successful demonstration of expression of a bacterial operon in transgenic chloroplast plants.

The invention provides a model system for large-scale production of foreign protein within chloroplasts in the folded configuration enhancing their stability and facilitating single-step purification, for example, biopharmaceuticals such as human serum albumin (HSA) and insulin.

All known methods of transformation can be used to introduce the vectors of this invention into target plant plastids including bombardment, PEG Treatment, Agrobacterium, microinjection, etc.

21 The invention provides transformed crops, like solanaceous plants (monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous). Preferably, the plants are edible for mammals, including humans.

The invention provides target Bt transgenic plants which are likely to show a more stable protein expressed at high levels in the chloroplast throughout the growing season. It should increase toxicity of Bt transgenic plants to target insects and help eliminate the development of Bt resistance. The invention provides an example of the cry2Aa2 bacterial operon is expressed in tobacco chloroplasts to test the resultant transgenic plants for increased expression and improved persistence of the accumulated insecticidal protein(s).

1 The invention provides transformed plants including leaves which accumulated a high percent of total soluble protein (close to 50%) in mature leaves and remain stable even in old bleached leaves.

 The invention provides transformed plants which are resistant to difficult-to-control insects, like cotton boll worm, which were killed 100% after consuming transgenic leaves. The invention also provides plants which contain insecticidal protein fold into cuboidal crystals. Plants which contain
6 protoxin crystals, which will be processed only by target insects that have high alkaline gut environment, which should improve safety of Bt transgenic plants. Also, plants are free of insecticidal proteins in transgenic pollen, which eliminates toxicity to non-target insects via pollen such as Monarch butterfly larvae. The invention provides electron microscopic proof of the presence of the cuboidal crystals inside the chloroplast.

11 The invention also provides an environment friendly approach to engineering insect resistance to plants because folded crystal products improve the safety of the Bt transgenic plants which will be edible consume, mammals, including humans.

 In another embodiment of the invention provides heterologous DNA sequences which mediate resistance to (a) heavy metal in transgenic plants or plant cells which express these coding sequences
16 encoding metal ion reductases and (b) organomercurial compounds in transgenic plants or plant cells which express the coding sequence encoding organomercury lyase. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA (which encodes mercuric ion reductase) and merB (which encodes organomercury lyase).

 The present invention provides a chloroplast universal vector which contains a Mer operon containing metal resistance coding sequences operably linked to transcriptional and translational control
21 sequences which are functional in the chloroplast in target plants. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA and merB. Also, the present invention provides transgenic plant cells, plant tissue and plants whose chloroplast has been modified to contain and express two metal resistance coding sequences operably linked to transcriptional and translational control sequences which are functional in the chloroplast of target plants. Preferably the coding sequences are that of merA and merB. Also provided
26 by the present invention are methods for effecting metal resistance in plants by stably transforming a plant to contain and express two heterologous DNA sequences encoding metal resistance operably linked to transcriptional and translational control sequences which are functional in the chloroplasts of target plants. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA and merB.

1 The present invention are methods for effecting metal resistance in plants by stably transforming
a green algae or cyanobacteria to contain and express two heterologous DNA sequences encoding metal
resistance operably linked to transcriptional and translational control sequences which are functional in
the target green algae or cyanobacteria. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA and merB.

6 A further object of the invention are transgenic plants, algae and bacteria which contain and
express metal resistance and organomercurial compound resistance coding sequences.

Other embodiments of the invention are described in greater detail hereinafter.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

11

Figure 1 shows (a) Chloroplast expression vector pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon (9.8 kb) with PCR
primer landing sites and expected fragment sizes. PCR analysis of untransformed and putative
chloroplast transformants using two primer sets: (b) 1P1M; and (c) 3P3M. Lane 1: 1kb ladder; Lane 2:
untransformed; Lanes 3-7: pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon putative transformants; Lane 8: pLD-BD
16 Cry2Aa2 operon plasmid DNA.

Figure 2 shows the Southern blot analysis of T₀ and T₁ generations. A. The 0.81 kb probe
BamH1-BglII fragment containing the chloroplast flanking sequence. Untransformed plants generate a
4.47 kb fragment. B. Vector map showing Cry2Aa2 operon transformed 32P labeled fragments of 8.42
kb and 1.42 kb. C. Lane 1: 1 kb ladder; Lane 2: untransformed; Lanes 3-7; T₀ transgenic lines; Lanes
21 8-9: T₁ transgenic lines.

Figure 3 shows the 10% SDS-PAGE gel stained with R-250 Coomassie Blue. Loaded protein
concentrations are provided in parenthesis. Lanes 1: prestained protein standard; Lane 2: partially
purified Cry2Aa2 protein from E. Coli (5 µg); Lane 3: Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 pellet extract
solubilized in 50mM NaOH (22.4 µg); Lane 4: Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 supernatant (66.5 µg);
26 Lane 5: Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 supernatant (58.6 µg); Lane 7: untransformed tobacco pellet extract
solubilized in 50mM NaOH (29.8 µg); Lane 8: untransformed tobacco supernatant (30.4 µg). Colored
compounds observed in the supernatant of transgenic plants interfered with the DC Bio-Rad protein
assays but not in the pellet.

1 **Figure 4** shows the quantification of Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 and Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 proteins by ELISA as a percentage of total soluble protein in young, mature, and old transgenic leaves. A: Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 expression shown as a percentage of total soluble protein. B: Operon derived Cry2Aa2 expression shown as a percentage of total soluble protein.

6 **Figure 5** shows the insect bioassays of untransformed tobacco leaves (A,D,G), Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 transformed leaves (B,E,H) and Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 transformed leaves (C,F,I). A, B, C: bioassays with *H. virescens*; D, E, F: bioassays with *H. zea*; G, H, I: bioassays with *S. exigua*.

Figure 6 shows the electron micrographs of Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 leaf sections in young (A), mature (B,D) and old, bleached leaf (C). Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 mature leaf (E), mature untransformed leaf (F).

11 **Figure 7** shows the phenotypes of untransformed (A) or transformed with the cry2Aa2 gene (B) or cry2Aa2 operon (C).

Figure 8 shows a pLD-merAB chloroplast vector.

Figure 9 shows transformed *E. Coli* grown in 100 μM HgCl_2 . Transformed *E. coli* cells containing the vectors pLD-merAB and pLD-MerAB-3'UTR grown in LB at different concentrations of HgCl_2 . Plates show transformed cells growing at 100 μM HgCl_2 . No growth was observed in the control.

Figure 10 shows chloroplast transgenic plants. A: Transgenic plant shoot induction in RMOP with 500 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Spec. B: Transgenic plant root induction in MSO with 500 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Spec. C: Transgenic plant grown in soil.

21 **Figure 11** shows integration of the mer operon into the chloroplast genome. A: PCR using specific primers that land in the gene cassette (5P/2M) show a product of 3.8kb size (clones 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11). Clones 1 and 3 show no integration of the cassette. Positive control, is plasmid pLD-merAB-3'UTR. Negative control is untransformed plant DNA. B: PCR using specific primers that land within the native chloroplast genome (3P/3M), eliminate mutants (clone 3), showing integration of the cassette into the chloroplast genome (clones: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11. 1.6 kb PCR product).

Figure 12 shows the *Chlorella vulgaris* vector construct.

Figure 13 shows the *Synechocystis* vector construct.

Figure 14 shows the *Lemna* vector construct.

1 **Figure 15** shows the Sugarcane vector construct.
 Figure 16 shows confirmation of Lemna vector construct.
 Figure 17 shows confirmation of Sugarcane vector construct.
 Figure 18 shows other vectors suitable for operon expression.

6 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

 This invention is related to transformation of the plastid genome applicable to all plastids of plants. These include chromoplasts which are present in the fruits, vegetables and flowers; amyloplasts which are present in tubers like the potato; proplastids in roots; leucoplasts and etioplasts, both of which are present in non-green parts of plants

11 The invention provides in one aspect a single vector or construct which encodes more than one heterologous protein product. The second aspect of the invention relates to the maximal production of a heterologous protein by co-expressing it with another polypeptide or a chaperone that induces crystallization of said protein.

 The first aspect of the invention provides that a heterologous DNA fragment that is introduced
16 into a plastid vector (described below) encodes more than one gene. In one example, the DNA encodes an operon of three genes and produces proteins from three genes. This aspect of the invention to co-expressing multiple genes is beneficial if one desires to introduce a biosynthetic pathway into plants that comprises multiple steps. For example, a three step synthesis of a desired compound might require three different enzymes. Co-expressing all three enzymes in the chloroplast can be accomplished according
21 to this invention. Thus, a single transformation will generate a recombinant plant possessing all three heterologous enzymes which can function in concert to produce the desired product.

 The second aspect of the invention is that the yield of heterologous gene expression is greatly enhanced if the desired protein is in crystal form in the transformed plant, as provided by this invention. This is accomplished by co-expressing the desired gene with a second gene encoding a chaperone protein
26 that directs crystallization. Data given in the specification shows almost 100 fold greater amounts of insecticidal protein can be found in plants co-expressing the chaperone protein versus plants having only the gene encoding the insecticidal protein. The expression cassette itself can be modified to include a selectable marker, a gene encoding the chaperone protein and any desired heterologous gene. Such

1 applications will be beneficial for the high level production in plants of other desired protein products as well.

A further aspect of the invention describes a plant bioremediation system. A plant bioremediation system employing chloroplast transformants have a number of advantages. First, plants have the genetic capacity (using hundreds, even thousands, of genes) to extract at least 16 metal cation and oxyanion
6 nutrients from the soil and ground water. This capacity can be chemically and genetically manipulated to extract environmental pollutants. Second, plants have extensive root systems to help in this mining effort; typical estimates are as high as 100×10^6 miles of roots per acre [Dittmer, H. J. (1937) Amer. J. Botany 24:417-420]. The root systems of various macrophytes can reach up to 40 feet into the soil. In addition, plants are photosynthetic and govern as much as 80% of the available energy at any given time
11 in most ecosystems. Through photosystem I (a system not found in photosynthetic bacteria), they use light energy to generate large amounts of reducing power (as NADPH) that can be used to efficiently reduce metal ions. Plants photosynthetically fix CO_2 and reduce it to make their own carbon/energy source. This reduced carbon energy is used by plant roots to live heterotrophically. This redox power can also be used to reduce toxic metal ions like Hg(II) [Rugh et al. (1996) supra]. Many plants can
16 produce large amounts of biomass annually with the potential both to enrich contaminated soil with carbon and nutrients and/or remove metal ions from the soil. The site of action of mercury within the chloroplasts, ability to express bacterial operon via the chloroplast genome, and several other environmental benefits of chloroplast genetic engineering make this an advantageous system for metal remediation.

21 An additional benefit of the metal resistant plants is their ability to harvest metals; precious and semi-precious metals can be reduced and thereby trapped in plant tissues. These metals include can include gold, silver, platinum, rhenium, copper, palladium, nickel, zinc and cadmium, where the corresponding metal ions are reduced by the metal resistance gene product in those plants.

In addition, this invention also introduces a novel approach for mercury and organomercurial
26 bioremediation in water. Two organisms are used as model systems. One is *Synechocystis*, a photosynthetic bacterium (Cyanobacterium) that grows in salt and fresh water (in a high temperature range, from ice to hot springs). The other is *Chlorella vulgaris*, a green algae that grows in fresh water. These organisms are transformed with the merA and merB genes (mer operon) to remove mercury and

1 organomercurials from water. Transformed cells could be applied for sludge treatment and in water
treatment to remove organomercury and mercury from water and sediments before releasing them to the
environment, especially from industrial effluents that generate byproducts with mercury.

"Metal resistance" means that a non-naturally occurring organism is not inhibited by the presence
of at least one of divalent cations of mercury, cadmium, cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and monovalent
6 silver ion, at concentrations (levels) at which a naturally occurring (wild-type) counterpart of the non-
naturally occurring organism is inhibited or exhibits symptoms of toxicity. It is not intended that the term
metal resistance refer to resistance to unlimited concentration of metal ions, but rather the term is relative
in that it relies on comparison to the properties of a parental strain.

A "metal resistance coding sequence" is one which encodes a protein capable of mediating
11 resistance to at least one metal ion, including, but not limited to, divalent cations of mercury, nickel,
cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and by monovalent cations of silver. Also within the scope of this
definition are mutant sequences which determine proteins capable of mediating resistance to divalent
cations of lead, cadmium and copper.

An "organomercurial resistance coding sequence" is one whose protein product mediates
16 resistance to such organic mercury compounds as alkylmercurials and certain aromatic mercurials, for
example, mono- or dimethylmercury, typically in conjunction with a metal resistance gene such as merA.
As specifically exemplified herein, the organomercurial resistance gene is the methylmercury lyase gene
(merB) and its gene product confers resistance to organomercurial compounds such as methylmercury,
p-chloromercuribenzoate (PCMB) and p-hydroxymercuribenzoate in conjunction with the merA gene
21 product (mercury ion reductase).

The metal resistance protein (MerA protein, mercuric ion reductase) is exemplified by that from
Tn21, a bacterial mercury resistance transposon originally isolated from the IncFII plasmid NR1. In
addition to reducing mercuric ions, the Tn21 MerA reduces trivalent gold and monovalent silver cations
[Summers and Sugarman (1974) Journal of Bacteriology 119:242-249]. Monovalent silver and certain
26 divalent metal cations have been shown to be competitive inhibitors of mercuric ion reduction in vitro
[Rinderle et al. (1983) Biochemistry 22:869-876]. MerA mediates resistance to trivalent gold, divalent
cobalt, divalent copper and divalent nickel cations as well as divalent ionic mercury.

1 It is understood that nucleic acid sequences from nucleotide 14 through nucleotide 1708, or
MerApe 20, MerApe 29, MerApe 38 or MerApe 47 will function as coding sequences synonymous with
the exemplified merApe9 coding sequence. Nucleic acid sequences are synonymous if the amino acid
sequences encoded by those nucleic acid sequences are the same. The degeneracy of the genetic code
is well known to the art; i.e., for many amino acids, there is more than one nucleotide triplet which serves
6 as the codon for the amino acid; for expression in plant cells or tissue it is desired that codon usage
reflect that of plant genes and that CpG dinucleotides be kept low in frequency in the coding sequence.
It is also well known in the biological arts that certain amino acid substitutions can be made in protein
sequences without affecting the function of the protein. Generally, conservative amino acid substitutions
or substitutions of similar amino acids are tolerated without affecting protein function. Similar amino
11 acids can be those that are similar in size and/or charge properties, for example, aspartate and glutamate
and isoleucine and valine are both pairs of similar amino acids. Similarity between amino acid pairs has
been assessed in the art in a number of ways. For example, Dayhoff et al. (1978) in Atlas of Protein
Sequence and Structure, Vol. 5, Suppl. 3, pp. 345-352, which is incorporated by reference herein,
provides frequency tables for amino acid substitutions which can be employed as a measure of amino acid
16 similarity. Dayhoff et al.'s frequency tables are based on comparisons of amino acid sequences for
proteins having the same function from a variety of evolutionarily different sources.

The expression of merB in plants confers resistance to and/or the ability to detoxify
organomercurials including, but not limited to, alkylmercury compounds wherein the alkyl group is either
straight chain or branched, alkenyl mercury compounds, allyl mercury, alkynyl mercury compounds,
21 aromatic mercury compounds, wherein there are from one to about 6 aromatic rings, and other
organomercurials including but not limited to humic acid-containing mercury compounds. The MerB
protein also mediates resistance to and/or detoxifies organo-metals including, but not limited to, organic
lead, organic cadmium and organic arsenic compounds, where those organometals can be alkyl, alkenyl,
alkynyl or aromatic metal compounds.

26 Coding sequences suitable for expression in a plant are operably linked downstream of a
constitutive or a regulated promoter construct. Transgenic plants can be constructed by use of
chloroplast universal vector containing a 5' a part of a chloroplast spacer sequence, a promoter that is
operative in the chloroplast of the target plant cells, at least two heterologous DNA sequences encoding

1 merA and merB, a gene that confers resistance to a selectable marker, a transcription termination region
functional in the target plant cells; and a 3' part of the chloroplast spacer sequence. Alternatively, the
vector may not contain a terminator.

The mer operon-expressing plants can be used in the remediation of mercury-contaminated soil
to block the biomagnification of methyl mercury up the food chain. Deep-rooted trees like cottonwood
6 and sweetgum, which inhabit bottom lands, can be transformed to express mer A and merB. These
species have roots that grow in the same general area of the sediment as sulfate-reducing bacteria. As
the transgenic plant roots take up methyl mercury, MerB breaks the carbon mercury bond to produce
Hg(II). Hg(II) is a highly reactive metal ion and should end up sequestered in plant tissues bound to
various thiol groups.

11 Hg(II) produced from the MerB reaction and additional Hg(II) taken up from the environment
through its normal mining of nutrients is reduced to Hg(0) by the MerA reaction. Hg(0) is released
directly from the roots or transpired up the vascular system of the plant, as are waste gasses like CO₂
from some plants [Dacey, J. W. (1980) Science 210: 1017-1019; Dacey, J. W. (1981) Ecology 62:1137;
Raven et al. (1986) In: Biology of Plants, Worth Publishers, N.Y., p.775]. By lowering the total levels
16 in the soil, less methyl mercury will be produced by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Using the MerA and MerB
together in transgenic plants at contaminated sites lowers total Hg(II) levels and destroys environmental
methyl mercury, thus preventing a large portion of the methyl mercury from moving through the
environment.

The Hg(0) entering the environment joins the enormous and stable pool of Hg(0) in the
21 atmosphere (Nriagu (1979) In: The Biogeochemistry of Mercury in the Environment, (New York:
Elsevier) with half life of over one year. Because Hg(0) is not easily returned to earth, this pool is not
thought to contribute less significantly to manmade contamination of the environment. In contrast,
atmospheric Hg(II) species (i.e., mercury released from coal burning or methyl mercury released
naturally) are rapidly returned to earth by rain and dry deposition with a half-life of about 1-2 weeks.
26 Thus, volatilization of relatively small amounts of Hg(0) with good air circulation effectively removes
mercury from terrestrial and aquatic environments.

Once a transgenic plant population expressing MerA and MerB is established, these plants
efficiently process mercury. Over the subsequent few decades these plants remove or detoxify most

- 1 mercury from at a site. Relying only on currently available biological and chemical processing, the efflux
rates of Hg(0) from mercury contaminated sites are extremely slow. At one such government site it is
estimated that only 10 kg of the 80,000 kg present in the soil is released as Hg(0) per year (Lindberg et
al. (1995) Environ. Sci. Tech. 29, 126-135). The levels of atmospheric mercury at this and most sites (4-
10 ug/m.sup.3) are 10,000 fold below what the EPA/OSHA recommend as the maximum allowable
6 levels (U.S. Public Health Service (1994) Toxicological Profile for Mercury. In: Regulations and
Advisories, U.S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C., pp. 261-269). Even if transgenic plants at this
site increased the efflux rate of metallic mercury 200 times, the level of atmospheric mercury would still
be 50 fold below these allowable levels. The transgenic plants of the present invention allow the efficient
removal of toxic metal compounds such as methyl mercury and ionic mercury from soil, sediment, and
11 aquatic environments, thus meeting a longfelt need for efficient bioremediation of metal and organometal
contaminated sites.

The Operons of the Vector

- The cry2Aa2 Operon.** The preferred embodiment of the invention is the use of *Bacillus thuringiensis*
16 (Bt) cry2Aa2 operon as a model system to demonstrate operon expression and crystal formation via the
chloroplast genome of tobacco. This operon contains three open reading frames (ORFs). Cry2Aa2 is
the distal gene of this operon. The ORF immediately upstream of cry2Aa2 codes for a putative
chaperonin that facilitates the folding of cry2Aa2 (and other selected target proteins) to form
proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals. Because CRY protein levels decrease in plant tissues late in the
21 growing season or under physiological stress, a more stable protein expressed at high levels in the
chloroplast throughout the growing season should increase toxicity of Bt transgenic plants to target
insects and help eliminate the development of Bt resistance. The function of the third ORF is not yet
known. The invention comprises the operon with the third gene and also with the operon without the
third gene.
- 26 **The mer Operon.** Another embodiment of the invention uses the mer Operon. The genes for mercury
resistance are known as Mer genes, they are found in operons of bacterial plasmids; different genes
constitute operons, but the two most important are: the merA that codes for the mercuric ion reductase
and the merB that codes for the organomercurial lyases (Foster, 1983; Summers et al. 1978, 1986). Mer

1 A is a 1.7kb gene that needs NADPH as a co-factor to reduce mercury to a volatile, non-reactive and less toxic form of mercury (Hg⁰) (Begley et al. 1986). Mer B is a 638bp gene that undergoes the protonolysis of organomercurials by removing the organic group and releasing elemental mercury, which is detoxified by merA (Jackson et al. 1982). A polycistron containing both genes allows effective degradation of mercury and organomercurials

6 **Alternative Operons**

Other Cry or Cyt operons may be used in this invention. Any operon which comprises at least one of the 133 genes shown in the article MMBR, September 1998, pages 805-873, Vol 62, No. 4, Revision of the Nomenclature for the BT Insecticidal Crystal Proteins by Crickmon et al., the genes of which codes for the corresponding BT protein; and the chaperonin which facilitates protein folding can be used. Likewise, any operon which comprises at least one of the toxins enumerated in Table 15.1 or at least one of the of Molecular Biotechnology by Glick and Pasternak can be used. Similarly, any operon which comprises a gene which codes for a delta-endotoxin and the chaperonin which facilitates protein folding can be used. In addition, any operon which comprises at least a plasmid identified in Table 13.1 of the of Molecular Biotechnology by Glick and Pasternak can be used.

16

The Chaperonins

Chaperonins are a class of a protein referred to as chaperones which has been shown to consist of helper proteins in chain folding and assembly with the cells (Gierasch and King, 1990). They facilitate the folding and assembly of newly synthesized polypeptide chains into functional three-dimensional structures by preventing off-pathway reactions during folding that lead to aggregation (Agashe VR et. al. 2000). Chaperonins provide a sequestered environment in which folding can proceed unimpaired by intermolecular interactions between non-native polypeptides (Agashe VR et. al. 2000). Those skilled in the art will be familiar with the E. Coli chaperonins: groEL and groES (Viitanen PV et. al. 1995), (Gierasch and King, 1990). Plant chaperonins chaperonin-60 and chaperonin-10, which are homologous of gro-EL and gro-ES, respectively. Homologous of the E. Coli groEL and groES continue to be identified. For instance, a stable complex of the chaperonins has been isolated and crystallized from the extremely thermophilic bacterium *Thermus thermophilus* (Lissin NM et. al. 1992). Likewise, plant chaperonins – located both in plastids and the cytosol, continue to be identified (Baneyx F. et. al., 1995;

- 1 Viitanen PV et. al., 1995; Burt WJ et. al. 1994, Grellet F. et. al. 1993; Bertsch U et. al., 1992). These articles are hereby incorporated in their entirety by reference.

The preferred embodiment of this invention use of those bacterial chaperonins that are capable of facilitating the crystallization of the Bt endotoxin polypeptides by means of the UV Ct vector and in the transformed plants.

6

The Vectors

- This invention contemplates the use of vectors which are capable of stably transforming the chloroplast genome. Such vectors include chloroplast expression vectors such as pUC, pBlueScript, pGEM, and all others identified by Daniell in US patents number 5,693,507 and
11 5,932,479. These publications and patents are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

- Universal Vector.** A preferred embodiment of this invention utilizes a universal integration and expression vector competent for stably transforming the chloroplast genome of different plant species
16 (Universal Vector). The universal vector and its construction have been described by the earlier Publication No. WO99/10513, International publication date: 4 March 1990, which is herein incorporated in its entirety.

- The 4.0 kb cry2Aa2 operon was inserted into the universal chloroplast expression vector pLD CtV2 (5.8 kb) to form the final E. coli and tobacco shuttle vector pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon (9.8 kb)
21 (Fig. 1 A). This vector could be used to transform chloroplast genomes of several plant species because the flanking sequences are highly conserved among higher plants. This vector contains the 16S rRNA promoter (Prn) driving the aadA gene (aminoglycoside 3'-adenylyltransferase) for spectinomycin selection and the three genes of the cry2Aa2 operon. The terminator is the psbA 3' region from the tobacco chloroplast genome from a gene coding for the photosystem II reaction center component. The
26 16S rRNA promoter is one of the strong chloroplast promoters recognized by both nuclear and plastid encoded RNA polymerases in tobacco and the psbA 3' region stabilizes the transcript of foreign genes. This construct integrates both genes into the spacer region between the chloroplast transfer RNA genes coding for isoleucine and alanine within the inverted repeat (IR) region of the chloroplast genome by

1 homologous recombination. The integration into these transcribed spacer regions allow the gene to be
inserted without interfering with gene coding regions. Also, each genome will contain two gene copies
due to integration into the two inverted repeat regions resulting in a higher copy number (7,000-8,000
copies per cell) and higher levels of expression. However, the two genes may also be integrated outside
of the IR region resulting in a lower copy number. Chloroplast transgenic plants were obtained as
6 described previously by Daniell (1993, 1997).

Mer operon vectors with and without terminator: In order to understand the role of 3'UTRs
in chloroplast foreign gene expression (in mRNA stability and transcription termination), chloroplast
vectors with and without 3' UTRs were made. The PCR products, *merA* and *merB* were cloned
independently into the pCR2.1 vector (In Vitrogen). Then, the orientation of the *merB* gene integration
11 was checked. Once the correct orientation was found, the vector TA-*merB* was cut with a *ClaI*/*EcoRV*.
MerA was cut with *ClaI* and *EcoRV*, and the fragment (*merA*) was isolated by gel electrophoresis.
Then, the *merB* and *merA* genes were ligated. After the ligation, both genes (*merB* and *merA*) in-frame
were ready for insertion into the pLD vector. After cutting pLD vector with *PstI* to linearize the vector
and to remove the terminator, the *merB-merA* cassette extracted from the TA-vector was ligated into
16 the pLD vector (Fig. 8). The final step was to check for correct orientation. Thus the pLD-*rnerAB*
vector lacking 3' UTR was constructed.

To make the pLD-*merA.B-3'UTR*, specific set of primers were used to amplify the whole cassette
from the TA-*merAB* vector. The 5' primer was designed with an *EcoRV* site and the 3' primer with a
XbaI site. These restriction sites allowed the integration into the pLD vector only in the right orientation.

21

Chloroplast integration of foreign genes

Foreign gene integration into the chloroplast genome was determined by PCR screening of
chloroplast transformants (Fig. 1 A,B,C). Primers were designed to eliminate spectinomycin mutants and
nuclear integration. The first primer set, 1P1M, lands one primer (1P) on the 3' end of the 16s rRNA
26 flanking sequence and another primer (1M) on *aadA* (Fig. 1A). This is to distinguish between
spectinomycin mutants and true spectinomycin transformants. A 1.6 kb fragment is seen in true
transformants (Fig. 1B, lanes 3,5,6,7). Lane 4 shows a spectinomycin mutant with no PCR product.
Untransformed tobacco DNA (lane 2) expectedly shows no product, while pLD-BD *cry2Aa2* operon

1 plasmid DNA in lane 8 produced the 1.6 kb fragment. The second primer set, 3P3M, lands one primer
(3P) on the native chloroplast genome adjacent to the point of integration, and another primer (3M) on
the *aadA* gene (Fig. 1 A). This primer set generated a 1.65 kb PCR product in chloroplast transformants
(Fig. 1C, lanes 3,5,6,7). Untransformed tobacco DNA (lane 2) showed no PCR product, and pLD-BD
cry2Aa2 operon plasmid DNA in lane 8 also showed no PCR product because 3P lands on native
6 chloroplast DNA. Lane 4 was negative for chloroplast integration and again proving this transformant
to be a spectinomycin mutant.

Southern blot analysis was done to further demonstrate site-specific chloroplast integration of the
4.0 kb cry2Aa2 operon and to determine heteroplasmy or homoplasmy (Fig. 2). BglII digested DNA
from transformed plants produce 8.42 kb and 1.4 kb fragments (Fig. 2B) when probed with the 0.81 kb
11 probe (Fig. 2A) that hybridizes to the *trnI* and *trnA* flanking sequences. Transgenic plant DNA (T_0 and
 T_1) produced the 8.42 kb and 1.4 kb fragments (Fig. 2C, lanes 3-9). A 4.47 kb fragment (Fig. 2A) is
seen in untransformed plant DNA (Fig. 2C lane 2). T_0 plant DNA also shows this native untransformed
4.47 kb fragment (Fig. 2C, lanes 3-7), thereby showing heteroplasmy in the T_c generation. This 4.47 kb
native band is absent from the T_1 generation (Fig. 2C, lanes 8-9), thus indicating homoplasmy. If only
16 a fraction of the genomes were transformed, the gene copy number should be less than 8,000 per cell.
Confirmation of homoplasmy in T_1 transgenic lines indicates that the Cry2Aa2 operon gene copy number
could be as many as 7000-8,000 per cell.

CRY2Aa2 protein expression and quantification: Expression profile of the operon derived (OD)
Cry2Aa2 and single gene derived (SG) Cry2Aa21 is shown on a Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel (Fig.
21 3). The primary goal of this experiment is to investigate the location of the operon derived Cry2Aa2
protein (the pellet or supernatant) and correlate with cuboidal crystals observed in electron micrographs
(see Figure 6). Lane 2 contains partially purified 65 KD Cry2Aa2 from *E. coli*. Because crystalline
Cry2Aa2 inclusion bodies are solubilized at high alkaline pH, the 50mM NaOH solubilized pellet was
analyzed from each plant sample after centrifugation for 20 min at 13,000g (lanes 3, 5, 7). Results show
26 that OD Cry2Aa2 expression forms crystalline inclusion bodies because the protein is found mostly in
the pellet after centrifugation (lanes 5-6). In contrast, expression of SG Cry2Aa2 is observed in both the
pellet and the supernatant (lanes 3-4). No Cry2Aa2 expression was seen in untransformed tobacco in
either the supernatant or the pellet (lanes 7, 8).

1 Cry 2Aa2 polypeptides (Fig. 3, lanes 3, 5) were scanned using Storm 840 Gel Scanner and Image
 Quant Software (Molecular Dynamics). The operon-derived expression results only in a 2.5 fold more
 accumulation of Cry2Aa2 than that of single gene derived cry2Aa2 in the pellet fraction; this does not
 correlate with more than 100 fold difference observed in ELISA (Figure 4). The reason for this
 discrepancy is the extreme difference in solubilization between SG Cry2Aa2 derived amorphous inclusion
 6 bodies and the OD Cry2Aa2 derived cuboidal crystals, as reported previously.

Despite the large difference in protein accumulation (as shown by ELISA and electron micrographs, Figs.
 4, 6), the concentration of solubilized protein loaded in the pellet fraction was similar in SG Cry2Aa2 and
 OD Cry2Aa2 (Figure 3, lanes 3, 5). Attempts to completely solubilize crystalline inclusion bodies for
 SDS PAGE analysis were not successful because higher pH interfered with gel electrophoresis and
 11 repeated dilution decreased protein concentration below detectable levels in Coomassie stained gels.

However, for quantification using ELISA it was possible to completely solubilize crystalline
 inclusion bodies under optimal conditions and dilute the protein to fit within the linear range of the
 Cry2Aa2 standard. Therefore, protein expression levels of SG Cry2Aa2 and OD Cry2Aa2 were
 quantified using ELISA (Fig. 4). Additionally, CRY protein accumulation in young, mature, and old
 16 transgenic leaves derived from a single gene or operon was compared to investigate their stability over
 time. Young, mature, and old leaves expressed SG Cry2Aa2 at 0.014%, 0.36%, and 0.03% respectively
 (Fig. 4A). Cry2Aa2 levels peaked in the mature leaf (0.36%) and drastically declined to 0.03% as the
 plant senesced. However, young, mature, and old leaves containing OD Cry2Aa2 accumulated at 34.9%,
 45.3%, and 46.1 % respectively (Fig. 4B). As these transgenic plants aged, OD Cry2Aa2 concentrations
 21 remained stable and did not decline like the SG Cry2Aa2. The presence of the operon-expressed putative
 chaperonin should enable the toxin to be folded into stable crystalline structures that are protected from
 degradation. Based on quantitative expression, the cry2Aa2 operon derived expression levels are
 comparable to that of the RuBisCo, the most abundant protein on earth that comprises up to 65% of
 leaf soluble protein.

26 **Insect Bioassays:** Five-day-old tobacco budworm (*Heliothis virescens*), ten day old cotton bollworm
 (*Helicoverpa zea*) and beet armyworm (*Spodoptera exigua*) larvae consumed the entire leaf after 24 hrs
 on the untransformed control (Figs. 5A, D, G). *H. virescens* feeding on SG Cry2Aa2 leaves died after
 5 days (Fig. 5B) while insects died after 3 days on OD Cry2Aa2 leaves (Fig. 5C). For SG Cry2Aa2, *H.*

1 *zea* consumed considerable leaf material after 24 hr, stopped feeding after three days and died after five
days (Fig. 5E). *H. zea* consumed very little OD Cry2Aa2 material after 24 hours, stopped feeding, and
died after five days (Fig. 5F). *S. exigua* feeding on SG Cry2Aa2 (Fig. 5H) or OD Cry2Aa2 (Fig. 5I)
were lethargic after 24 hours and died after 48 hours. Milkweed leaves dusted with OD Cry2A2
transgenic pollen were not toxic to Monarch butterfly larvae (data not shown) confirming earlier
6 observations that foreign proteins are not present in tobacco pollen.

Electron Microscopic Analysis: Untransformed and transgenic leaf sections were immunogold-labeled
with a Cry2A polyclonal antibody (Fig. 6). Figures A-C show developmental OD Cry2Aa2 in
chloroplasts in young, mature, and old leaves, respectively. In a young green OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic
leaf (Fig. 6A), labeled Cry2Aa2 occupies a significant amount of the chloroplast, but no crystalline
11 structures are observed. In a mature green OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic leaf (Fig. 6B), labeled Cry2Aa2
occupies a larger amount of the chloroplast than the younger leaf, resulting in crystals. These cuboidal
crystals are essentially identical to those expressed in wild-type Cry2Aa2 crystals, or recombinantly in
Bt or E coli. In an old bleached OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic leaf (Fig. 6C), labeled Cry2Aa2 maintains the
crystalline structure and occupies the highest volume of the chloroplast observed, despite being bleached
16 and senescent. These findings correlate with OD Cry2Aa2 ELISA results. In young developing leaves,
OD Cry2Aa2 begins accumulation (34.9%), folds Cry2Aa2 into a cuboidal configuration in mature leaves
occupying more cell volume (45.3%), and maintains this cuboidal structure and volume in old leaves
(46.1 %). Essentially, as the transgenic OD Cry2Aa2 plant ages, OD Cry2Aa2 is accumulated, folded
and maintained.

21 Figure 6D is a mature green OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic leaf showing crystal formation with no
immunogold label. This probably occurs because as the Cry2Aa2 is folded by the putative chaperonin,
epitopes are concealed thereby decreasing labeling. Crystal formation in Fig. 6D would cause the OD
Cry2Aa2 to pellet after centrifugation as seen in SDS-PAGE Fig. 3 (lane 5). In EM analysis of mature
leaves expressing SG Cry2Aa2 (Fig. 6E), protein aggregation is observed, although no crystalline folding
26 is seen. Cry2Aa2 immunogold labeling occurs in an area of much lower density than is seen in OD
Cry2Aa2 transgenic plants suggesting lower expression. These results also correlate with ELISA (0.36%
in SG Cry2Aa2 in mature leaves). There is no localized antibody observed in untransformed tobacco
(Fig. 6F).

1 **Transgenic phenotypes:** Phenotypes of OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic plants are not morphologically different from SG Cry2Aa2 transgenic plants (Fig. 7). Therefore, higher levels of expression and accumulation of CRY proteins did not visibly impact their phenotype. Both transgenic plants flowered and set seeds. Characterization of OD Cry2Aa2 T₁ transgenic plants for stable integration and transmission of foreign genes has been shown earlier (Fig. 2).

6 **Possibility of Gene Pyramiding**

This invention enables expression of polycistrons in the chloroplast genome. In contrast to prior efforts in engineering gene expression in transgenic plants, the present invention allows for this achievement in a single transformation event that is environmentally safe. The invention thus opens the possibility for gene pyramiding: the insertion of multiple insecticidal genes. The invention contemplates operons which include not only *Bacillus thuringiensis* (B.t.) insecticidal toxin genes, but also non-B.t. insecticidal toxin genes such as cholesterol oxidase, alpha-amylase inhibitors, protease inhibitors, the cowpea trypsin inhibitors, and the potato proteinase inhibitor II. Inclusion of multiple heterologous insecticidal toxin genes retards the ability of insects to develop resistance to bio-pesticides.

Expression of Biological Pathways

16 Further, this invention provides a method of engineering biological pathways into the chloroplast genome in a single transformation event that is environmentally safe. Because gene expression is controlled by one promoter, DNA sequences encoding the different genes necessary in a pathway can be co-expressed to the same levels. Once expressed, the genes of the pathway can act in concert. Gene expression can result in synthesis of enzymes that confer desired traits such as degradation of metal ions, herbicides, pesticides, solvents, toluene, naphthalene, and other xenobiotics. An example is the chloroplast transformation of plant chloroplasts with the Mer operon leading to the biodegradation of mercury and organomercurials. Other pathways include the pigment biosynthesis pathway, biosynthetic pathways for enzymes that are could confer desired traits such as degradation of xenobiotic compounds noted above, pathways for amino acids such as the lysine biosynthetic pathway, and pathways for the synthesis of vitamins, carbohydrates, fatty acids, biopolymers and polyesters. Further examples are provided in chapters 12 and 13 of Molecular Biotechnology by Glick and Pasternak, which is herein incorporated by reference. Other xenobiotics which can be degraded using the system of this invention include those given in U.S. patent 4,259,444 to Chakrabarty which is herein incorporated by reference.

1 Expression of pathways can result in the production of compounds such as amino acids, fatty acids, carbohydrates, polymers, vitamins, antibiotics and dyes.

Efficient Expression of Bio-pharmaceuticals

6 The ability to express polycistrons also opens up the possibility of efficiently expressing bio-pharmaceuticals such as monoclonal antibodies. Those skilled in the art will know the four DNA sequences encoding proteins necessary to compose the molecule. Those skilled in the art will also know that these proteins should be produced in equal amounts (the same stoichiometric ratio). The PCT application entitled "Production of Antibodies in Transgenic Plastids," filed on 2/28.2001 by Daniell, is hereby incorporated by reference to offer examples of such proteins. This invention allows for the
11 coordinated expression of these sequences because they are driven by the same promoter. This method avoids the problems of the prior art; namely the pitfalls of nuclear transformation such as the positional effect and gene silencing.

Application to Other Plants.

16 This invention provides any higher plants, such as monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant species. The plants that may be transformed via the universal vector with an antibiotic selectable marker may be solanaceous plants or plants that grow underground. Most importantly, this invention is applicable to the major economically important crops such as maize, rice, soybean, wheat, and cotton. A non-exclusive list of examples of higher plants which may be so transformed include cereals such as
21 barley, corn, oat, rice, and wheat; melons such as cucumber, muskmelon, and watermelon; legumes such as bean, cowpea, pea, peanut; oil crops such as canola and soybean; solanaceous plants such as tobacco; tuber crops such as potato and sweet potato; and vegetables like tomato, pepper and radish; fruits such as pear, grape, peach, plum, banana, apple and strawberry; fiber crops like the Gossypium genus such as cotton, flax and hemp; and other plants such as beet, cotton, coffee, radish, commercial flowering plants,
26 such as carnation and roses; grasses, such as sugar cane or turfgrass; evergreen trees such as fir, spruce, and pine, and deciduous trees, such as maple and oak.

 The invention now being generally described, it will be more readily understood by reference to the following examples which are included for purposes of illustration only and are not intended to limit

1 the present invention.

In the experimental disclosure which follows, all temperatures are given in degrees centigrade (.degrec), weight are given in grams (g), milligram (mg) or micrograms (.mu.g), concentrations are given as molar (M), millimolar (mM) or micromolar (.mu.M) and all volumes are given in liters (l), milliliters (ml) or microliters (.mu.l), unless otherwise indicated.

6 The invention is exemplified in the following non-limiting examples which are only for illustrative purposes and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention..

EXAMPLE 1

Bombardment and selection of transgenic plants: Tobacco plants were grown aseptically under
11 fluorescent lights in the laboratory. Seeds were germinated on MSO medium at 27°C with photoperiods of 16 hour light and 8 hour dark. Microcarriers coated with pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon DNA was used to bombard sterile leaves using the Bio-Rad PDS-1000/He biolistic device as described by Daniell (1997). Bombarded leaves were subjected to two rounds of selection on RMOP medium containing 500 µg/ml of spectinomycin to regenerate transformants.

16 **PCR Analysis:** DNA was extracted from leaves using the QIAGEN DNeasy Plant Mini Kit. PCR was done using the Perkin Elmer Gene Amp PCR System 2400. All PCR reactions were performed using the Qiagen Taq DNA Polymerase Kit. Primer sequences used were: 1P (5'-ACAATGTAGCCGTACTGGA AGGTGCG GGTG-3'), 1M (5'-CGCGCTT AGC TGGATAACGCCACGGAA-3'), 3P(5'-AAAA CCCGTCCTCA GTTCGGATTGC-3'), and 3M (5'-
21 CCGCGTTGTTTCATCAA GCCTTACG-3'). Samples were run for 30 cycles with the following sequence: 94°C for 1 minute, 70°C for 1.5 minutes, and 72°C for 3 minutes. PCR products were separated on 0.8% agarose gels.

Southern Blot Analysis: DNA from transformed and untransformed plants was digested with BgIII and transferred to a nylon membrane by capillary action. The 0.81 kb probe was generated by digesting pLD-
26 CtV2 vector DNA with BamHI/BgIII and labeled with ³²P using the ProbeQuant™ G-50 Micro Columns (Amersham). Labeled probe was hybridized with the nylon membrane using the Stratagene QUICK-HYB hybridization solution and protocol.

- 1 **SDS-PAGE Analysis:** Transgenic and untransformed leaf material (600 mg) was ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen. Protein extraction buffer from the Cry2Aa2 plate kit from Envirologix (Portland, Maine) used for quantification was added to the powder and further grinding was performed. The mixture was centrifuged at 4°C at 13,000g for 20 minutes. The supernatant was removed, boiled in sample buffer, and loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The pellet was resuspended in 50mM NaOH and
- 6 centrifuged at 4°C at 5000g for 5 minutes to pellet cell debris. The supernatant was removed, boiled in sample buffer, and loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel at 200V for 4 hours. Gels were stained for 2 hours with R-250 Coomassie Blue and destained overnight in 40% methanol and 10% acetic acid. The DC protein assay by Bio-Rad was used to determine total soluble and pellet protein concentration as followed by protocol.
- 11 **ELISA:** A Cry2Aa2 plate kit from Envirologix was used. Leaves expressing the SG Cry2Aa2, the OD Cry2Aa2, and untransformed tobacco were quantified and compared. Approximately 20 mg of leaf was ground in 100 µl of 50mM NaOH to solubilize CRY proteins. Transgenic leaf extracts were diluted to fit in the linear range of the provided Cry2aA2 standard. The µQuant microtiter plate reader from Bio-Tek read the plate at 450 nanometers (nm). A 1ppm Cry2Aa2 standard was supplied by the kit and was
- 16 used in the linear range between 200-1000 ng for quantification. Color development is proportional to Cry2Aa2 concentration in the sample extract. The DC protein assay by Bio-Rad was used to determine total soluble protein concentration as followed by protocol.
- Insect Bioassays:** Leaf disc bioassays were conducted on ca. 2 cm² excised leaf material, and placed on distilled water-soaked cardboard lids in 50 x 12 mm plastic petri dishes. Insects used were susceptible
- 21 *H. virescens* (YDK) obtained from Fred Gould, North Carolina State University, *H. zea* obtained from the USDA AIRS facility in Tifton, GA and *S. exigua* from the lab of William Moar. Insects were tested as five day or ten day old. All larvae were reared on typical lepidopteran artificial diet prior to use. Two insects were assayed per leaf sample, except *H. zea* in which only 1 insect was added per leaf sample due to the cannibalistic nature of the insect (2 leaf samples for *H. zea*). All leaf samples for each replicate
- 26 were from the same leaf. Two samples were evaluated per treatment, and observed daily for mortality and leaf damage for 5 days. Treatments were replicated three times.
- Transmission Electron Microscopy and Immunogold Labeling:** Immunogold labeled electron microscopy was performed as described by A. J. Vrekleij et. al.. Sections were first incubated with 0.05M

- 1 glycine in PBS buffer (10mM phosphate buffer, 150mM NaCl pH 7.4) for 15 minutes to inactivate residual aldehyde groups. The grids were then blocked by placing them onto drops of PBS with 5% BSA and 0.1 % CWFS gelatin supplemented with 5% normal serum for 30 minutes, washed on drops of incubation buffer 3 times for 5 min each, and then incubated for 45 min with the polyclonal Cry2Aa2 to detect tobacco expression (diluted 1:10,000 in incubation buffer). To remove unbound primary antibody,
- 6 sections were washed on drops of incubation buffer 6 X 5 min each. Sections were then incubated for 2 hours with a goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody conjugate to 10nm gold diluted 1:100 in incubation buffer. Sections were subsequently washed 6 X 5 minutes in incubation buffer, 3 X 5 min with PBS, and post-fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde diluted in PBS for 5 min. Following post-fixation, sections were washed in PBS 3 X 5 minutes, in distilled water 5 X 2 min each, and post-stained using
- 11 uranylacetate and lead citrate. Sections were then examined in a Zeiss EM 10 transmission electron microscope at 60kv.

EXAMPLE 2

- E. Coli Transformants.** Due to the similarity of protein synthetic machinery (Brixey et al. 1997), expression of all metal resistance conferring chloroplast vectors are first tested in E.coli before their use
- 16 in tobacco transformation. The activity of the enzymes, mercury ion reductase (merA) and organomercurial lyase (merB) are tested by transforming E. coli (XLI-blue) with the recombinant plasmids and growing them in LB solid medium with HgCl₂ (Fig. 9). The cells, control (XLI-blue), pLD-merAB and pLDmerAB-3'UTR are grown in different concentrations of Hg Cl₂. Control cells do not grow even at concentrations less than 25 μ M Hg Cl₂ but the transformed cells grow well even at 100 μ M
- 21 HgCl₂-(Fig. 10). The ability to grow at these high concentrations of mercury in which control is not able to grow, confirms the functionality of both enzymes. Control and transformed clones are grown in LB with 500 μ g/ml of spectinomycin for 24 hours at 37' C. When OD₆₀₀ is measured, 1.247 for the clone with 3' UTR, 0.165 for the clone lacking the 3' UTR, and zero absorbance for the control is observed. As expected the pLD-merAB-3'UTR transformed clone shows a higher growth rate probably caused by the
- 26 3' effective termination which allows cells to make more copies of the mer operon transcript that contain only the aadA, merA and merB genes. In chloroplast genome we expect a minor effect in the transcription termination efficiency because the terminator of the genes close to the cassette and

1 downstream can serve as a terminator, once it is integrated in the chloroplast genome by homologous recombination.

Bombardment and regeneration of chloroplast transgenic plants: Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* var. Petit Havana) plants are grown aseptically by germination of seeds on MSO medium (Daniell 1993). Fully expanded, dark green leaves of about two month old plants are bombarded as described by Daniell
6 (1997). The plants are maintained under 500µg/ml spectinomycin selection in the three phases; first selection (RMOP medium), second round of selection (RMOP medium) and third selection MSO (rooting medium) (Fig. 10). After these selection events, positive transformants are transferred to soil (Fig 10). The plants are tested for integration of the genes in the chloroplast at first round of selection and before transplanting them to soil. The use of PCR with specific primers that land in the chloroplast
11 genome and in the gene cassette allows us to eliminate mutants and show integration of the selectable marker gene and the mer genes (Fig. 11). After PCR testing, the plants are grown in soil and the seeds are collected.

Polymerase Chain Reaction: PCR is done using DNA isolated from control and transgenic plants to distinguish a) true chloroplast transformants from mutants and b) chloroplast transformants from nuclear
16 transformants. Primers for testing the presence of the *aadA* (a gene that confers spectinomycin resistance) in transgenic plants are landed on the *aadA* coding sequence and 16S rRNA gene (primers 1P&1M). In order to test chloroplast integration of the mer genes, one primer is landed on the *aadA* gene while another is landed on the native chloroplast genome (primers 3P&3M). No PCR product is obtained with nuclear transgenic plants using this set of primers. The primer set (5P & 2M) is used
21 to test integration of the entire gene cassette without any internal deletion or looping out during homologous recombination, by landing on the respective recombination sites. This screening is essential to eliminate mutants and nuclear transformants. In order to conduct PCR analyses in transgenic plants, total DNA from unbombarded and transgenic plants are isolated as described by Edwards et al. (1991). Chloroplast transgenic plants containing the mer gene are moved to second round of selection in order
26 to achieve homoplasmy.

Southern Blot Analysis: Southern blots are done to determine the copy number of the introduced foreign gene per cell as well as to test homoplasmy. There are several thousand copies of the chloroplast genome present in each plant cell. Therefore, when foreign genes are inserted into the chloroplast

1 genome, it is possible that some of the chloroplast genomes have foreign genes integrated while others remain as the wild type (heteroplasmy). Therefore, in order to ensure that only the transformed genome exists in cells of transgenic plants (homoplasmy), the selection process is continued. In order to confirm that the wild type genome does not exist at the end of the selection cycle, total DNA from transgenic plants is probed with the chloroplast border (flanking) sequences (the trnI-trnA fragment). If wild type
6 genomes are present (heteroplasmy), the native fragment size will be observed along with transformed genomes. Presence of a large fragment (due to insertion of foreign genes within the flanking sequences) and absence of the native small fragment should confirm homoplasmy (Daniell et al., 1998; Kota et al., 1999; Guda et al., 2000).

The copy number of the integrated gene is determined by establishing homoplasmy for the transgenic
11 chloroplast genome. Tobacco Chloroplasts contain 5000-10,000 copies of their genome per cell (Daniell et al. 1998). If only a fraction of the genomes are actually transformed, the copy number, by default, must be less than 10,000. By establishing that in the transgenics, the merAB inserted transformed genome is the only one present, one could establish that the copy number is 5000-10,000 per cell. This is done by digesting the total DNA with a suitable restriction enzyme and probing with the flanking
16 sequences that enable homologous recombination into the chloroplast genome. The native fragment present in the control should be absent in the transgenics. The absence of native fragment proves that only the transgenic chloroplast genome is present in the cell and there is no native, untransformed, chloroplast genome, without the mer genes present. This establishes the homoplasmic nature of our transformants, simultaneously providing us with an estimate of 5000-10,000 copies of the foreign genes
21 per cell.

Northern Blot Analysis: Northern blots are done to test the efficiency of transcription of the merAB operon. Total RNA is isolated from 150 mg of frozen leaves by using the "Rneasy Plant Total RNA Isolation Kit" (Qiagen Inc., Chatsworth, CA). RNA (10-40 µg) is denatured by formaldehyde treatment, separated on a 1.2% agarose gel in the presence of formaldehyde and transferred to a nitrocellulose
26 membrane (MSI) as described in Sambrook et al. (1989). Probe DNA (merAB gene coding region) is labeled by the random-primed method (Promega) with ³²P-dCTP isotope. The blot is pre-hybridized, hybridized and washed as described above for southern blot analysis. Transcript levels are quantified by the Molecular Analyst Program using the GS-700 Imaging Densitometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

1

Plant Bioassays

Germination/Growth Experiments: Seeds of wild-type (*Nicotiana tabacum* var Petit Havana), transgenic plant pLD-MerAB, and transgenic plant pLD-MerAB-3'UTR are sterilized, vernalized at 4° C for at least 24h, and germinated on 1% Phytoagar plates (GIBCO/BRL) made with Murashige and
6 Skoog (4.3g/liter, GIBCO/BRL) medium containing PMA (phenylmercuric acetate) or mercuric chloride. Seedlings are grown at 22° C with a 16 h light/8 h dark period.

Mercury Vapor Assays: Elemental mercury is relatively insoluble and volatile and lost quickly from cells and media. Volatilized Hg^0 is measured on a Jerome 431 mercury vapor analyzer (Arizona Instrument, Phoenix, AZ) (Rugh, C.L. et al., 1996). Approximately 5-10 seedlings (10-14 day old, 10-25 mg total
11 wet weight) are incubated in 2ml of assay medium (50mM Tris.HCL, pH 6.8/50mM NaCl/25uM $HgCl_2$) in a 16 x 130 mm test tube with a side arm for gas removal. The $HgCl_2$ is added to initiate the assay. The amount of Hg^0 produced is assayed by bubbling air through the bottom of the sample for 12 sec at 3cm³/sec and measuring the release of Hg^0 . The time zero assay will be taken immediately after the seedlings are placed in the medium. The sample is then reassayed every minute for 10 minutes. The
16 volatilized Hg^0 is measured by passing the air sample released from the side arm directly over the gold foil membrane resistor of a Jerome 431 mercury vapor analyzer. The instrument is repeatedly standardized with known quantities of Hg^0 (10-200 ng), reduced from $HgCl_2$ with excess $SnCl_2$. The amount of mercury evolved is normalized by dividing the number of nanograms of Hg^0 measured by the number of milligrams of seedling tissue in the assay.

Photosynthetic studies: From transgenic plants and untransformed plants, intact chloroplasts are isolated for photosynthetic studies. O_2 evolution is studied in an oxygen evolution electrode in the absence or presence of different concentrations of $HgCl_2$ and PMA. Electron transport is studied with suitable electron donors/acceptors to study photosystem I, II or both. PAGE is used to examine the composition of PSII complex, especially EP33, after incubation of cells or chloroplasts or thylakoid
26 membranes with different concentrations of $HgCl_2$ and PMA. In vivo chloroplast fluorescence is studied to monitor changes in control and transformed cells or chloroplasts to measure Fo, Fm, Fv.

Inheritance of Introduced Foreign Genes: While it is unlikely that introduced DNA would move from the chloroplast genome to nuclear genome, it is possible that the gene could get integrated in the nuclear

1 genome during bombardment and remain undetected in Southern analysis. Therefore, in initial tobacco
transformants, some are allowed to self-pollinate, whereas others are used in reciprocal crosses with
control tobacco (transgenics as female accepters and pollen donors; testing for maternal inheritance).
Harvested seeds (T1) are germinated on media containing spectinomycin. Achievement of homoplasmy
and mode of inheritance is classified by looking at germination results. Homoplasmy is indicated by
6 totally green seedlings (Daniell et al., 1998) while heteroplasmy is displayed by variegated leaves (lack
of pigmentation, Svab & Maliga, 1993). Lack of variation in chlorophyll pigmentation among progeny
also underscores the absence of position effect, an artifact of nuclear transformation. Maternal
inheritance is demonstrated by sole transmission of introduced genes via seed generated on transgenic
plants, regardless of pollen source (green seedlings on selective media). When transgenic pollen is used
11 for pollination of control plants, resultant progeny would not contain resistance to chemical in selective
media (will appear bleached; Svab and Maliga, 1993). Molecular analyses confirms transmission and
expression of introduced genes, and T2 seed are generated from those confirmed plants by the analyses
described above.

16 EXAMPLE 3

***Chlorella vulgaris* transformation vector:** The region 16S to 23S of the *Chlorella vulgaris* chloroplast
genome is amplified by PCR using specific primers complementary to *rrn16* and to *rrn23*. The PCR
product will be cloned into pCR 2.1 vector available from Promega. The PCR product 16S to 23S is
removed from the pCR2.1 vector by a blunt end restriction endonuclease and cloned into the pUC19 in
21 which the multiple cloning site has been removed using a blunt end restriction enzyme (PvuII). Then the
cassette containing the promoter, the antibiotic resistance gene and the *merAB* genes is inserted into the
new vector (*Chlorella* transformation vector) using a blunt end restriction enzyme (HincII) that is present
in the spacer region between *trnA* and *trnT*. The final construct is used for the transformation of
Chlorella vulgaris (Fig. 12).

26 **Bombardment and transformation of *Chlorella vulgaris*:** The biolistic transformation method
(Sanford et al, 1993) is optimized for transformation of *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Chlorella* is grown in liquid
heterotrophic medium (5 sporulation agar) at 25° C to late-log phase ($\sim 6 \times 10^6$ cells/ml). To prepare a
monolayer for bombardment (2×10^7), cells are collected onto prewetted 45mm GVWP filters (Millipore)

- 1 under gentle (30 mBar) vacuum. Gold particles are coated with the transforming plasmid. (Sanford et al. 1993) The monolayer filters are bombarded. Immediately after bombardment, filters are transferred to selective solid media containing 500 µg/ml spectinomycin and incubated at 22° C under high light. After approximately 6 weeks, green colonies are picked from a background of bleached cells onto selective plates and grown for an additional 1-2 weeks. Colonies are harvested and screened for
6 integration of foreign genes when they reached a diameter of approximately 5mm.

Chlorella vulgaris Bioassays: Growth and colonies formation bioassay are performed as explained in the plant germination growth experiment; the only change is the use of Chlorella specific media.

Mercury vapor assays: Mercury vapor assays are performed in the way explained for plants above except changing the growth media specific to *Chlorella*, including temperature and light intensity.

- 11 **Photosynthetic studies:** Photosynthetic studies are performed in the way explained for plants above except untransformed and transformed *Chlorella* cells will be directly used to study the effect of mercury toxicity.

EXAMPLE 4

- Synechocystis transformation vector:** The region 16S to 23S of the *Synechocystis* genome is amplified
16 by PCR using specific primers complementary to rrn1 16 and to rrn23. The PCR product is cloned into the pCR 2.1 vector available from Promega. The PCR product 16S to 23S is removed from the pCR2.1 vector by a blunt end restriction endonuclease and cloned into pUC19 in which the multiple cloning site has been removed using a blunt end restriction enzyme (PvuII). Then the cassette containing the promoter, the antibiotic resistance gene and the merAB genes is inserted into the new vector
21 (Synechocystis transformation vector) using a blunt end restriction enzyme (HincII) that is present in the spacer region between trnI and trnA. The final construct will be used for the transformation of *Synechocystis* (Fig. 13).

- Transformation of Synechocystis:** A fresh culture of wild type in BG-11 (heterotrophic medium) plus glucose is grown to $OD_{730} = 0.5$ after 2-3 days of culture. Cells are spun down in sterile 50 ml tubes at
26 room temperature and resuspended in the original growth medium to $OD_{730} = 2.5$. Transforming DNA is added to resuspended cells in sterile glass culture tubes. Tubes are placed in rack in the growth chamber at 30° C for 6 hours and shaken for 3 hours. Cells (200 µl) are plated on a sterile filter that has

- 1 been placed on a BG- 11 plus glucose plate and spread around. After growth for 24 hours and they are transferred to filters on appropriate medium containing spectinomycin or mercuric chloride.

Synechocystis Bioassays: Growth and colonies formation bioassay are performed as explained in the plant germination-growth experiment; the only change is the use *Synechocystis* growth media.

- 6 **Mercury vapor assays:** Mercury vapor assays are performed in the way explained for plants above except changing the growth media specific to the *Synechocystis*, including temperature and light intensity.

Photosynthetic studies: Photosynthetic studies are performed in the way explained for plants above except untransformed and transformed *Synechocystis* cells are directly used to study the effect of mercury toxicity.

EXAMPLE 5

- 11 **Lemna transformation vector:** The *Lemna* chloroplast vector, as shown in Fig. 14, is constructed in the same way as explained above for tobacco, with the exception that the *Lemna* chloroplast DNA flanking sequences are used.

Bombardment and regeneration of transgenic plants: *Lemna* plants are transformed and regenerated in the way explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.

- 16 **Plant Bioassays:** Various plant bioassays are performed as explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.

EXAMPLE 6

Sugarcane transformation vector: The Sugarcane chloroplast vector, as shown in Fig. 15, is constructed in the same way as explained above for tobacco, with the exception that the Sugarcane chloroplast DNA flanking sequences are used.

- 21 **Bombardment and regeneration of transgenic plants:** Sugarcane plants are transformed and regenerated in the way explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.

Plant Bioassays: Various plant bioassays are performed as explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.

REFERENCES

- 26 Begley TPA, Walts AE, Walsh CT (1986) Mechanistic studies of a protonolytic organomercurial cleaving enzyme: bacterial organomercurial lyase. *Biochemistry* 25: 7192-7200.

- 1 Bernier M, Popovic R, Carpentier R (1993) Mercury inhibition of photosystem II. FEBS Lett. 32: 19-23.

Bernier M, Carpentier R (1995) The action of mercury on the binding of extrinsic polypeptides associated with water oxidizing complex of photosystem. II.

6

Bizily S, Rugh CC, Summers AO, Meagher RB (1999) Phytoremediation of methylmercury pollution: merB expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants confer resistance to organomercurial. PNAS 96: 6808-6813.

- 11 Bizily S, Rugh CC, Meagher RB (2000) Phytoremediation of hazardous organomercurials by genetically engineered plants. Nature Biotechnology 18; 213-217.

Bogorad, L. Engineering chloroplasts: an alternative site for foreign genes, proteins, reactions, and products. Trends in Biotechnology. 18, 257-263 (2000).

16

Bradley, D. et al. The insecticidal CryIIIB crystal protein of *Bacillus thuringiensis* ssp. *Thuringiensis* has dual specificity to coleopteran and lepidopteran larvae. J. Invert. Pathol. 65, 162-173 (1995).

Carlson PS (1973) The use of protoplasts for genetic research. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70: 598-

21 602.

- 1 Compeau GC, Bartha R (1985) Sulfate-reducing bacteria: principal methylators in freshwater sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol, 50: 498-502.

Crickmore, N. & Ellar, D. Involvement of a possible chaperonin in the efficient expression of a cloned cryIIA 8-endotoxin gene in *Bacillus thuringiensis*. MoL Microbiol. 6, 1533-1537 (1992).

6

Crickmore, N., Wheeler, V. & Ellar, D. Use of an operon fusion to induce expression and crystallisation of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* 5-endotoxin encoded by a cryptic gene. MoL Gen. Genet. 242, 365-368 (1994).

- 11 Daniell, H. Foreign gene expression in chloroplasts of higher plants mediated by tungsten particle bombardment. Methods Enzymol. 217, 536-556 (1993).

Daniell, H. et al. Engineering plants for stress tolerance via organelle genomes. NATO ASI Series. 86, 589-592 (1994).

16

Daniell, H. Transformation and foreign gene expression in plants mediated by microprojectile bombardment. Meth. Mol. Biol. 62, 463-489 (1997).

1 A operons on the formation of Cry2A inclusions in *Bacillus thuringiensis*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 165, 35-41 (1998).

21

- 1 Daniell, H. et al. Containment of a herbicide resistance through genetic engineering of the chloroplast⁴ genome. *Nature Biotechnol.* 16, 345-348 (1998).

Daniell, H. GM crops: public perception and scientific solutions. *Trends in Plant Science.* 4, 467-469 (1999).

6

Daniell, H. New tools for chloroplast genetic engineering. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 17, 855-856 (1999).

Daniell H, Kulandaivelu G and Chandrasingh U (1980) Substituted p-benzoquinones having high electron affinity as photosystem II electron acceptors. *Z. Naturforsch.* 35C, 136-138.

11

Daniell H and Sarojini G (1981) Site of action of 2,5-dimethoxy-3,6-dichloro-p-benzoquinone in the photosynthetic electron transport chain. *Z. Naturforsch.* 36C, 656-661.

- Daniell H, Ramanujan P, Krishnan M, Gnanam A, Rebeiz CA (1983) In vitro synthesis of photosynthetic membranes: I. Development of photosystem I activity and cyclic phosphorylation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 111: 740-749.
- 16

Daniell H, Krishnan M, Renganathan M and Gnanam A (1984) Radioisotopic evidence for the polypeptides associated with photosystem II activity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 125, 988-995.

21

Daniell H, Anbudurai PR, Periyannan S, Renganathan M, Bhardwaj R, Kulandaivelu G and Gnanam

- 1 A(1985) Oxygenic photoreduction of methyl viologen without the involvement of photosystem I during plastid development. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 126, 1114-1121.
- Daniell H and McFadden BA (1986) Characterization of DNA uptake by the cyanobacterium *Anacystis nidulans*. *Mol. Gen. Genetics* 204, 243-248.
- 6 Daniell H, Sarojini G and McFadden BA (1986) Transformation of the cyanobacterium *Anacystis nidulans* 6301 with the *Escherichia coli* plasmid pBR322. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83, 2546-2550.
- Daniell H and McFadden BA (1987) Uptake and expression of bacterial and cyanobacterial genes by
11 isolated cucumber etioplasts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84: 6349-6353.
- Daniell H and McFadden BA (1988) Genetic Engineering of plant chloroplasts. United States Patents 5,932,479; 5,693,507.
- 16 Daniell H, Vivekananda J, Neilsen B, Ye GN, Tewari KK, Sanford JC (1990) Transient foreign gene expression in chloroplasts of cultured tobacco cells following biolistic delivery of chloroplast vectors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87: 88-92.
- Daniell H, Krishnan M, McFadden BA (1991) Expression of B-glucuronidase gene in different cellular
21 compartments following biolistic delivery of foreign DNA into wheat leaves and calli. *Plant Cell Reports* 9: 615-619.

- 1 Daniell H, Muthukumar B and Lee SB (2001) Marker free transgenic plants: engineering the chloroplast genome without the use of antibiotic selection. *Current Genetics*. In press.

DeCosa B, Moar W, Lee SB, Miller M, Daniell H (2001). Hyper-expression of the Bt Cry2Aa2 operon in chloroplasts leads to formation of insecticidal crystals. *Nature Biotechnol.* 19: 71-74.

6

De Witte, C. et. al. (2000). Plants as Bioreactors for Protein Production: Avoiding the Problem of Transgene Silencing. *Plant Molecular Biology* 43:347-389.

Edwards K, Johnstone C, Thompson C (1991) A simple and rapid method for preparation of plant genomic DNA for PCR analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19: 1349.

11

Foster TJ (1983) Plasmid-determined resistance to antimicrobial drugs and toxic metal ions in bacteria. *Microbiol. Rev.* 47: 361-409.

Ge, B. et al. Differential effects of helper proteins encoded by the cry2A and cry1 Greenplate, J.

- 16 Quantification of *Bacillus thuringiensis* insect control protein Cry1Ac over time in bollgard cotton fruit and terminals. *J. Econ.Entomo.* 92, 1377-1383 (1999).

Gilmour CC, Henry EA, Mitchel R (1992) Sulfate stimulation of mercury methylation in freshwater sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 26: 2281-2287.

21

Guda, C., Lee, S.B. & Daniell, H. Stable expression of a biodegradable protein-based polymer in tobacco

- 1 chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Reports*. 19, 257-262 (2000).
- Harada M, Minamata Disease Research Group (1995) Minamata disease: methylmercury poisoning in Japan caused by environmental pollution. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 25: 1-24.
- 6 Kota, et al. Overexpression of the *Bacillus thuringiensis* (13t) Cry2Aa? protein 9 in chloroplasts confers resistance to plants against susceptible and Bt-resistant insects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 96,1840-1845 (1999).
- Kulandaivelu G and Daniell H. (1980) Dichlorophenyl dimethylurea (DCMU) induced increase in
- 11 chlorophyll a fluorescence intensity: An index of photosynthetic oxygen evolution in leaves, chloroplasts and algae. *Physiol. Plant.* 48, 385-388.
- Ma, J. et al. Generation and assembly of secretory antibodies in plants. *Science*. 268, 716-719 (1995).
- 16 McBride KE, Svab Z, Schaaf DJ, Hogen PS, Stalker DM, Maliga P (1995) Amplification of a chimeric *Bacillus* gene in chloroplasts leads to extraordinary level of an insecticidal protein in tobacco. *Bio/technology* 13: 362-365.
- Minamata Disease Research Group (1968) Minamata Disease. (Medical School of Kumamoto University,
- 21 Kumamoto, Japan)

- 1 Moar, W. et al. Insecticidal activity of the CryIIA protein from the NRD-12 isolate of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* expressed in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* and in a leaf-colonizing strain of *Bacillus cereus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60, 896-902 (1994).
- Moar, W. et al. Development of *Bacillus thuringiensis* CryI C resistance by *Spodoptera exigua*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 61, 2086-2092 (1995).
- 6 Navrath, C., Poirier, Y., & Somerville, C. Targeting of the polyhydroxybutyrate biosynthetic pathway to the plastids of *Arabidopsis thaliana* results in high levels of polymer accumulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 91, 12760-12764 (1994).
- 11 Peerenboom, E (2000) German health minister calls time out for Bt maize. *Nature Biotechnol.* 18: 374.
- Puchta H (2000) Removing selectable marker genes: taking the shortcut. *Trends in plant Science* 5: 273-274.
- Rashid A, Popovic R (1990) Protective role of CaCl_2 against Pb^{2+} inhibition in photosystem II. *FEBS Lett.* 271: 181-184.
- 16 Roy, H. & Nierzwicki-Bauer, S. RuBisCo: genes, structure, assembly and evolution. *The Photosynthetic Apparatus*, L. Bogorad, I. Vasil (eds), pp 347-364, Academic Press, NY. (1994).
- 21 Rugh CC, Summers AO, Meagher RB (1996) Mercuric ion reductase and resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants expressing modified bacterial *merA* gene. *PNAS* 93: 3182-3187.

1

Salt DE et al. (1998) Phytoremediation. *Rev. Plant. Physiol. Plant. Mol. Biol.* 49: 643-668.

Sanford JC, Smith FD, Russell JA (1993) Optimizing the biolistic process for different biological applications. *Methods Enzymol.* 217: 483-509.

6

Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular cloning*. Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

11 Sarojini G, Daniell H and Vermaas WFJ (1981) Site of electron acceptance by 3,6-dichloro-2,5-dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone and its relation to the bicarbonate effect on photosynthetic electron transport. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 102, 944-951.

Sidorov VA, Kasten D, Pang SZ, Hajdukiewicz PTJ, Staub JM, Nehra NS (1999) Stable chloroplast transformation in potato: use of green fluorescent protein as a plastid marker. *Plant Journal* 19: 209-216.

16

Staub JM, Garcia B, Graves J, Hajdukiewicz PTJ, Hunter P, Nehra N, Paradkar V, Schlittler M, Carroll JA, Spatola L, Ward D, Ye G, Russell D (2000) High-yield production of human therapeutic protein in tobacco chloroplast. *Nature Biotechnol.* 18: 333-338.

21 Summers AO, Silver S (1978) Microbial transformation of metals. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 32: 637-672.

- 1 Summers AO (1986) Organization, expression, and evolution of genes for mercury resistance. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 40: 607-634.

Svab Z, Maliga P (1993) High frequency plastid transformation in tobacco by selection for a chimeric *aadA* gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90: 913-917.

6

Trebs A (1980) Inhibitors in electron flow: tools for the functional and structural localization of carriers and energy conservation sites.

A.J Vrekleij, J.M. Leunissen (eds.), *Immuno-gold Labeling in Cell Biology* CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

11 (1989).

Yamamoto, T. & Iizuka, T. Two types of entomocidal toxins in the parasporal crystals of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki*. *Archives Biochem. Biophys.* 227, 233-241 (1983).

- 16 Ye, X. et al, Engineering the provitamin A (0-carotene) biosynthetic pathway into (carotenoid-free) rice endosperm. *Science*. 287, 303-305 (2000).

Herbicide Resistance Crops, Agricultural, Environmental, Economic, Regulatory and Technical Aspects, Duke, S.O., ed., CRC Press, Inc. (1996).

21

Herbicide Resistance in Plants, Biology and Biochemistry, Powles, S.B., and Holtum, J.A.M., eds., CRC

- 1 Press, Inc. (1994).

Peptides: Design, Synthesis, and Biological Activity, Basava, C. and Anantharamaiah, G.M., eds.,
Birkhauser Boston, 1994.

- 6 Protein Folding: Deciphering the Second Half of the Genetic Code, Gierasch, L.M., and King, J., eds.,
American Association For the Advancement of Science (1990).

1

CLAIMS

1. A stable chloroplast transformation and expression vector which is capable of introducing multiple genes into a selected plant by a single integration event, wherein each step of said multiple genes is carried out by an enzyme encoding a heterologous DNA sequence which comprises an expression cassette, comprising as operably linked components, in the 5' to the 3' direction of translation, a promoter operative in said plastids which drives a multi-gene operon, a selectable marker sequence, the multi-gene operon which is functional to co-express multiple enzymes in the plastids, a transcription termination region functional in said plastids, and flanking each side of the expression cassette, flanking DNA sequences which are homologous to DNA sequences inclusive of a spacer sequence of the target plastid genome, whereby stable integration of the heterologous coding sequence into the chloroplast genome of the target plant is facilitated throughout homologous recombination of the flanking sequence with the homologous sequences in the target plastid gene.
2. A vector of claim 1, wherein a gene of the operon codes for an insecticidal toxin crystal protein.
3. A vector of claim 2, wherein the insecticidal toxin crystal protein is a *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) crystal protein.
4. A vector of claim 3, wherein another gene of the operon codes for another insecticidal crystal protein with a different mode of action.
5. A vector of claim 4, wherein the multi-gene operon is functional to co-express, in addition to a Bt insecticidal toxin gene, a non-Bt insecticidal toxin gene selected from at least one of the group of cholesterol oxidase, alpha-amylase inhibitors, protease inhibitors, cowpea trypsin inhibitors and the potato proteinase inhibitor II, whereby the "pyramiding" of the toxin product tends to retard the ability of insects to adapt to the insecticidal effect of the transgenic target plants.
6. A vector of claim 4 or 5, wherein a second gene of the operon codes for a putative chaperonin which facilitates the folding of the Bt crystal toxin protein to form proteotically stable cuboidal crystals.
7. A vector of claim 3, wherein the operon includes one of the 133 genes shown in the article

- 1 MMBR, (September, 1998, pages 805-873, Vol 62, No. 4, Revision of the Nomenclature for the Bt
Pesticidal (insecticidal) Crystal Proteins by Crickmon, et al.), wherein at least one of the genes of the
operon codes for a Bt insecticidal crystal protein and another gene codes for a putative corresponding
chaperonin which facilitates the folding and the corresponding chaperonin facilitates the folding of the
Bt protein to proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals shown in that MMBR article.
- 6 8. A vector of claim 1, wherein at least one of the gene of the operon codes for a
biopharmaceutical protein.
9. A vector of claim 7, wherein another gene of the operon codes for a putative chaperonin
which facilitates the folding of the protein.
10. A vector of claim 8, wherein the protein is insulin or human albumin.
- 11 11. A vector of claim 7, wherein another gene of the operon codes for another
biopharmaceutical protein other than the gene which codes for the putative chaperonin, which protein
is expressed in stoichimetric ratio.
12. A vector of claim 10, wherein the genes of the operon, other than the gene which codes
for the putative chaperonin, codes for biopharmaceutical proteins which are expressed in stoichimetric
16 ratio.
13. A vector of claims 7, 8, 9 or 10 which comprises collecting the protein product in a folded
configuration, thereby enhancing their stability, and facilitating single step purification.
14. A method of combating insects which comprises applying to the insects or their habitat
an insecticidally amount of the insecticidal crystal protein of claim 4.
- 21 15. A method of transforming a chloroplast of a selected plant species or the progeny thereof
to confer insect resistance and producing on a large-scale foreign protein, said method comprising the
steps of:
- stably transforming the chloroplast of selected plant cells to express at least one
insecticidal toxin protein and a chaperonin, growing the transformed plant cells under conditions which
26 allow the expression of said insecticidal toxin protein and chaperonin.
16. The method of claim 14, further comprising the steps of culturing said plant cells in a plant
growth medium comprising spectinomycin, and selecting transformed plant cells capable of growth in
the presence of said spectinomycin.

- 1 17. The method of claim 15, further comprising regenerating a transformed plant from said transformed plant cells.
18. A transformed plant which has been transformed by the method of any one of claims 14-16.
19. The transformed plant of claim 18, wherein said plant contains a high accumulation of
6 insecticidal toxin proteins in said plant's leaves, including mature and old bleached leaves.
20. The progeny, including seeds, of the transformed plant of claim 18.
21. A vector of claim 1, wherein the biosynthetic pathway is a bioremediation system that functions to degrade inorganic and organic metal compounds in contaminated sites.
22. A vector of claim 21, wherein the expression cassette does not contain a
11 terminator.
23. A vector of claim 21 or claim 22, wherein the operon contains the mercury resistance coding sequences encoding enzymes Mer A and Mer B.
24. The vector of claim 23, wherein the bioremediation pathway is driven by a single promoter.
- 16 25. The chloroplast transformation and expression vector of claim 24, wherein enzymes of the bioremediation pathway are expressed in stoichiometric amounts.
26. A vector of claim 25, wherein the inorganic compounds are selected from at least one of the group consisting of divalent cations of mercury, nickel, cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and monovalent cations of silver.
- 21 27. A vector of claim 25, wherein the organic compounds are selected from at least one of the group consisting of alkyl mercury, alkenyl mercury, alkynyl mercury, aromatic mercury compounds, alkyl lead compounds, alkyl arsenic compounds and alkyl cadmium compounds.
28. A method of transforming a chloroplast of a selected plant species or the progeny thereof to confer greater resistance to metal ions than the corresponding parental plant which does not require
26 several back crosses to create complete pathway that detoxifies mercury and organomercurial, said method comprising the steps of:
- stably transforming the chloroplast of a plant by inserting an expression cassette containing the mercury resistance coding sequences of claim 21 into a plant species or the progeny

1 thereof, growing the transforming plant species under conditions which allow the expression of said expression cassette.

29. The method of claim 28, further comprising culturing said plant in a plant growth medium comprising a selector for the corresponding selectable marker of claim 1, and selecting transformed plant cells capable of growth in the presence of said selector.

6 30. The method of claim 29, further comprising regenerating a transformed plant from said transformed plant cells.

31. A stably transformed plant which has been transformed by the methods of any one of claims 28-30.

32. The progeny, including seeds, of the stably transformed plant of claim 31.

11 33. A method of phytoremediation of mercury and organomercurials in soil and ground water, said method comprising the steps of:

planting the stably transformed plant of either claim 31 or claim 32 in soil contaminated with mercury and organomercurials and allowing said plants to grow.

34. A method of phytoremediation which does not require several back crosses to create
16 complete pathway that detoxifies mercury and organomercurial, said method comprising the methods of claims 28-30.

35. The plants of claim 33, wherein the plant contains products of the bioremediation pathway.

36. The products of the stably transformed plant of either claim 31 or claim 32, wherein said
21 products are metals that are reduced by the enzymes of the bioremediation pathway.

37. The vector of claim 23 which is capable of introducing a multiple-step biosynthetic pathway into a selected photosynthetic cell by a single integration event

38. The vector of claim 37, wherein the biosynthetic pathway degrades inorganic and organic mercury compounds.

26 39. A vector of claim 38, wherein the bioremediation pathway is driven by a single promoter.

40. A vector of claim 38, wherein the enzymes of the bioremediation pathway are expressed in stoichiometric amounts.

41. A vector of claim 38, wherein the inorganic compounds are selected from at least one of

1 a group consisting of divalent cations of mercury, nickel, cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and
monovalent cations of silver.

42. A vector of claim 38, wherein the organic compounds are selected from at least one of
a group consisting of alkyl mercury, alkenyl mercury, alkynyl mercury, aromatic mercury compounds,
alkyl lead compounds, alkyl arsenic compounds and alkyl cadmium compounds.

6 43. A photosynthetic organism transformed with the vector of claim 38 which is useful for
bioremediation of mercury and organomercurial compounds from contaminated water bodies.

44. A method of transforming a chloroplast of a selected photosynthetic organism to confer
greater resistance to metal ions, said method comprising the steps of:

stably transforming the chloroplast of a photosynthetic organism with the vector of claim
11 38, growing the transformed photosynthetic organism under conditions which allow the expression of
said expression cassette.

45. The method of claim 44, further comprising culturing said photosynthetic organism in a
growth medium comprising a selector, and selecting transformed cells capable of growth in the presence
of said selector.

16 46. The method of claim 45, further comprising regenerating a transgenic photosynthetic
organism from said transformed cells.

47. A method of phytoremediation of mercury and organomercurials in bodies of
contaminated water, said method comprising the steps of:

treating water contaminated with mercury and organomercurials with the transgenic
21 photosynthetic organism of claim 42 before releasing the water into the environment.

48. The photosynthetic organism of claim 43, wherein said photosynthetic organism is either
a green algae or a cyanobacteria.

49. The photosynthetic organism of claim 48, wherein the green algae is *Chlorella vulgaris*.

50. The photosynthetic organism of claim 48, wherein the cyanobacteria is *Synechocytis*.




26

51. A vector of claim 1 wherein a multi-gene operon codes for a protein.

52. A vector of claim 51, wherein the protein is a biopharmaceutical protein.

53. A vector of claim 52, wherein the biopharmaceutical protein is a monoclonal antibody.

- 1 54. A vector of claim 53, wherein the protein is produced in the same stoichiometric ratio.
55. A vector of claim 4, wherein said another gene of the operon is selected from the group
of cholesterol oxidase, alpha-amylase inhibitors, and proteinase inhibitors.
56. The vector of any one of claims 1-13, 21-27, 37-42, 51-55, wherein the promoter is a one
functional in green or non-green plastids.
- 6 57. The promoter of claim 56, wherein said promoter is selected from the group of psbA,
accD, or 16srRNA promoters.
58. The biosynthetic pathway of claim 1, wherein said biosynthetic pathway result in the
production of compounds such as amino acids, fatty acids, carbohydrates, polymers, vitamins, antibiotics
and dyes.
- 11 59. A vector of claim 8, where the protein is human serum albumin.
60. A vector of claim 1, which further comprises flanking each side of the expression cassette,
flanking DNA sequences which are homologous to a DNA sequence inclusive of a spacer sequence of
the target chloroplast genome, which sequence is conserved in the chloroplast genome of different plant
species, whereby stable integration of the heterologous coding sequence into the chloroplast genome of
16 the target plant is facilitated through homologous recombination of the flanking sequences with the
homologous sequences in the target chloroplast genome.

A.**B.****C.****Figure 1**







Figure 2

**Figure 3**

A.**B.****Figure 4**




Figure 5


**Figure 6**



Figure 7





**Figure 8**

Fig. 9: Transformed *E. coli* grown in 100 μ M HgCl_2 

Transformed *E. coli* cells containing the vectors pLD-merAB and pLD-MerAB-3'UTR grown in LB at different concentrations of HgCl_2 . Plates show transformed cells growing at 100 μ M HgCl_2 . No growth was observed in the control.

Fig. 10: Chloroplast Transgenic plants

- a) Transgenic plant shoot induction in RMOP with 500 μ g/ml Spec. b) Transgenic plant root induction in MSO with 500 μ g/ml Spec. c) Transgenic plant grown in soil.

Fig. 11: Integration of the mer operon into the chloroplast genome

- a) PCR using specific primers that land in the gene cassette (5P/2M) show a product of 3.8kb size (clones 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11). Clones 1 and 3 show no integration of the cassette. Positive control, is plasmid pLD-merAB-3'UTR. Negative control is untransformed plant DNA. b) PCR using specific primers that land within the native chloroplast genome (3P/3M), eliminate mutants (clone 3), showing integration of the cassette into the chloroplast genome (clones: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11. 1.6 kb PCR product).




Figure 12




Figure 13

Plastid vector Construction of Lemna




FIGURE 14

Plastid vector Construction of Sugarcane




FIGURE 15




FIGURE 16





FIGURE 17




FIGURE 18(A)




FIGURE 18(B)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US01/06276

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(7) : Please See Extra Sheet. US CL : Please See Extra Sheet. According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																								
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 435/320.1, 468, 252.3, 430, 418, 419; 536/23.71, 23.5, 23.6, 23.7, 23.2, 23.53; 800/278, 279, 288, 298, 302, 298, 287; 514/2 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Please See Extra Sheet.																								
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT																								
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																						
X Y	US 5,877,402 A (MALIGA et al) 02 March 1999, col. 27, line 14 to col. 28, line 10; Figures 22 and 30, col. 65, lines 19-39; col. 66, lines 16-39.	1, 51, 58, 60 2-6, 8, 10, 12, 15-32, 37-49, 52-55, 59																						
X Y	US 5,545,818 A (MCBRIDE et al) 13 August 1996, col. 1, lines 55-64; col. 6, lines 3-15, col. 7, line 53 to col. 8, line 5.	1-3 4-6, 15-20, 55																						
X Y	WO 00/03012 A2 (CALGENE LLC) 20 January 2000, pages 2, 8-9, 21, and 23-24.	1-3, 8, 51-52 10, 12, 53-54																						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.																								
<table border="0"><tr><td>* Special categories of cited documents:</td><td>*T</td><td>later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td></tr><tr><td>*A</td><td>document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>*B</td><td>earlier document published on or after the international filing date</td><td>*X</td><td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td></tr><tr><td>*L</td><td>document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td><td>*Y</td><td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td></tr><tr><td>*O</td><td>document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>*P</td><td>document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td><td>*A</td><td>document member of the same patent family</td></tr></table>			* Special categories of cited documents:	*T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	*A	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance		*B	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*X	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	*L	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Y	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	*O	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means			*P	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	*A	document member of the same patent family
* Special categories of cited documents:	*T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																						
*A	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance																							
*B	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*X	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																					
*L	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Y	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																					
*O	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means																							
*P	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	*A	document member of the same patent family																					
Date of the actual completion of the international search 28 MAY 2001		Date of mailing of the international search report 21 JUN 2001																						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3220		Authorized officer ANNE R. KUBELIK Telephone No. (703) 308-0196																						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 International application No.
 PCT/US01/06276

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X Y	KHAN et al. Fluorescent antibiotic resistance marker for tracking plastid transformation in higher plants. Nature Biotechnol. September 1999, Vol. 17, pages 910-915, see entire article.	1, 51, 58, 60 2-6, 8, 10, 12, 15-32, 37-49, 52-55, 59
X Y	KOTA et al. Overexpression of the Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) Cry2Aa2 protein in chloroplasts confers resistance to plants against susceptible and Bt-resistant insects. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA March 1999, Vol. 96, pages 1840-1845, see pages 1842 and 1844.	1-5, 51, 55, 60 6, 15-20
X	US 6,004,782 A (DANIELL et al) 21 December 1999, col. 20, line 31 to col. 21, line 6.	1, 51, 58, 60
Y	US 5,958,745 A (GRUYS et al) 28 September 1999, col. 105, line 13, to col. 106, line 49; col. 98, lines 7-28.	1, 51, 58, 60
Y	CRICKMORE et al. Revision of the Nomenclature for Bacillus thuringiensis Pesticidal Crystal Proteins. Microbiol. Molec. Biol. Rev. September 1998, Vol. 62, No. 3, pages 807-813, see Table 1.	2-6, 15-20, 55
Y	BOSTON et al. Molecular chaperones and protein folding in plants. Plant Molec. Biol. 1996, Vol. 32, pages 191-222, see pages 204-207 and 209.	6, 12, 15-20
Y	US 5,869,719 A (PATTON, D.A.) 09 February 1999, col. 9, lines 37-44; col. 16, line 48-63.	1, 51, 58, 60
Y,P	US 6,140,486 A (FACCIOTTI et al) 31 October 2000, col. 15, lines 42-67; col. 27, lines 4-19.	1, 51, 58, 60
Y	US 5,436,392 A (THOMAS et al) 25 July, 1995, col. 1, lines 52-68.	4-5, 55
Y	US 5,763,245 A (GREENPLATE et al) 09 June 1998, col. 3, lines 3-9; col. 18, lines 48-63.	4-5, 55
Y	US 5,981,722 A (CHEN et al) 09 November 1999, col. 11, lines 16-27; col. 12, 42-54.	4-5, 55
Y	US 5,965,796 A (MEAGHER et al) 12 October 1999, col. 3, lines 31-45; col. 3, line 58 to col. 4, line 20.	21-32, 37-48

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US01/06276

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,766,885 A (CARRINGTON et al) 16 June 1998, col. 10, lines 53-67.	8, 10, 12, 51-52
Y	WO 99/66026 A2 (JOHN INNES CENTRE) 23 December 1999, pages 1, 8 and 46-47, claim 10.	1, 8, 51-54
X	US 5,571,722 A (ROSSON R.A.) 05 November 1996, col. 15, lines 24-35; col. 18, lines 11-42.	50
Y		43, 48-49
Y	US 5,661,017 A (DUNAHAY et al) 26 August 1997, col. 12, line 8 to col. 13, line 29.	48-49
X	US 5,250,515 A (FUCHS et al) 05 October 1993, col. 28, lines 19-31; claims 1-4.	14
X,P	WO 01/07590 A2 (SYNGENTA PARTICIPATIONS AG) 01 February 2001 pages 1-2.	1, 51, 58, 60
X,P	DE COSA et al. Overexpression of the Bt cry2Aa2 operon in chloroplasts leads to formation of insecticidal crystals. Nature Biotechnol. January 2001, Vol. 19, pages 71-74, see entire article.	1-4, 6, 15-20

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US01/06276

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 7, 9, 11, 13 and 56-57
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

Claim 7, upon which the others are dependent, refers to genes in a publication. PCT Rule 6.2(a) states that the claims should not refer to the disclosure. Additionally, the publication is incorrectly cited.

3. ☒ Claims Nos.: 33-36 and 56-57
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Please See Extra Sheet.

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.

2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:

4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐
☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US01/06276

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER: IPC (7):

A01H 5/02, 5/10, 13/00; C12N 1/20, 1/12, 5/04, 15/29, 15/32, 15/52, 15/31, 15/17, 15/13, 15/63, 15/74, 15/82

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER: US CL :

435/320.1, 468, 252.3, 430, 418, 419; 536/23.71, 23.5, 23.6, 23.7, 23.2, 23.53; 800/278, 279, 288, 298, 302, 298, 287; 514/2

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

AGRICOLA, BIOSIS, CAPLUS, USPAT, JPO, EPO, DERWENT

Search terms; (plastid or chloroplast) transform?, insulin, biotin, albumin, antibody, mercury, merA, merB, algae, Bt, alpha amylase inhibitor, (protease or proteinase) inhibitor, trypsin inhibitor, cholesterol oxidase, fatty acid, polymer

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING

This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 6, 15-20, 51 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a Bt toxin and a chaperonin.

Group II, claim(s) 1, 4-6, 51, 55 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a Bt toxin and a cholesterol oxidase.

Group III, claim(s) 1, 4-6, 51, 55 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a Bt toxin and an alpha-amylase inhibitor.

Group IV, claim(s) 1, 4-6, 51, 55 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a Bt toxin and a protease inhibitor.

Group V, claim(s) 1, 4-6, 51, 55 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a Bt toxin and a cowpea trypsin inhibitor.

Group VI, claim(s) 1, 4-6, 51, 55 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a Bt toxin and a potato proteinase inhibitor II.

Group VII, claim(s) 1, 8, 10, 12 and 51-52, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding insulin.

Group VIII, claim(s) 1, 8, 10, 12, 51-52 and 59, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding human albumin.

Group IX, claim(s) 14, drawn to a method of combatting insects via application of proteins.

Group X, claim(s) 1, 21-32, 37-42, 51 and 60, drawn to a plant chloroplast transformation vector comprising MerA and MerB, a method of transforming a chloroplast with that vector to confer resistance to metal ions, and a plant so obtained.

Group XI, claim(s) 1, 23 and 37-51, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector comprising MerA and MerB for transformation of a single-celled organism, a method of transformation, a method of phytoremediation, and transformed algae and cyanobacteria.

Group XII, claim(s) 1, 51-54 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a monoclonal antibody.

Group XIII, claim(s) 1, 51, 58 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding an amino acid biosynthetic pathway.

Group XIV, claim(s) 1, 51, 58 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding an fatty acid biosynthetic pathway.

Group XV, claim(s) 1, 51, 58 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a carbohydrate biosynthetic pathway.

Group XVI, claim(s) 1, 51, 58 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a polymer biosynthetic pathway.

Group XVII, claim(s) 1, 51, 58 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a vitamin biosynthetic pathway.

Group XVIII, claim(s) 1, 51, 58 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding an antibiotic biosynthetic pathway.

Group XIX, claim(s) 1, 51, 58 and 60, drawn to a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a dye biosynthetic pathway.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US01/06276

The inventions listed as Groups I-XIX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-VIII and X-XIX are unrelated to Group IX. The groups do not share a technical feature. Groups I-VIII and X-XIX are drawn to chloroplast transformation vectors, not required by Group IX. Group IX, on the other hand, is drawn to a method of combatting insects via topical application of proteins, which are not required by Groups I-VIII and X-XIX.

Groups I-VIII and X-XIX do not relate to a single general inventive concept because these inventions do not constitute an advance over the prior art. The technical feature shared by the inventions is a chloroplast transformation vector encoding multi-gene operon. This technical feature is disclosed by Maliga et al (US 5,877,402 A, 02 March, 1999, see Figure 22A and column 65, lines 19-39), who teach chloroplast transformation vectors where more than one gene is expressed from the same promoter. Thus, the shared technical feature is not special. These groups are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked to form a single general inventive concept.

The following sets of Groups are unrelated to one another: Groups I-VI, Groups VII-VIII, Groups X-XI and XIII-XIX, and Group XII. Groups I-VI are drawn to chloroplast transformation vectors encoding Bt toxins, Groups VII-VIII are drawn to chloroplast transformation vectors encoding a biopharmaceutical protein, Groups X-XI and XIII-XIX are drawn to chloroplast transformation vectors encoding biosynthetic pathways, and Group XII is drawn to chloroplast transformation vectors encoding a monoclonal antibody. Thus the Groups do not share a special technical feature.

The technical feature shared by Groups I-VI is a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a Bt toxin. This technical feature is disclosed by McBride et al (US 5,545,818 A, 13 August, 1996, see Figure 1, column 2, lines 24-60, and column 7, line 63, to column 8, line 5), who teach chloroplast transformation vectors that encode *Bacillus thuringiensis* crystal proteins. Thus, the shared technical feature is not special, and these groups are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked to form a single general inventive concept. The Groups are unrelated to each other because the vectors of each encode an additional protein, and this additional protein differs among each Group.

The technical feature shared by Groups VII and VIII is a chloroplast transformation vector encoding biopharmaceutical protein. This technical feature is not special because it is disclosed by Maliga et al (US 5,877,402 A, 02 March, 1999, see column 27, lines 14-32), who suggest the expression of insulin in plant chloroplasts. These Groups are thus deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked to form a single general inventive concept. Group VII is unrelated to Group VIII because Group VII vectors encode insulin, while Group VIII vectors encode human albumin.

The technical feature shared by Groups X-XI and XIII-XIX is a chloroplast transformation vector encoding a biosynthetic pathway. This technical feature is not special because it is disclosed by Maliga et al (US 5,877,402 A, 02 March, 1999, see column 27, lines 53-59), who suggest the expression of biosynthetic pathway genes in plastids. As the Groups are drawn to different biosynthetic pathways, the Groups are unrelated.

The technical feature shared by Groups X-XI is organisms that express both MerA and MerB. This technical feature is not special because it is disclosed by Meagher et al (US 5,965,796 A 12 October, 1999, see column 14, lines 2-8 and column 12, lines 8-36), who suggest the expression both genes in the same plant and the use of those plants in bioremediation. Group X is unrelated to Group XI because Group X is drawn to expression of those genes in higher plants, while Group XI is drawn to expression of those genes in single-cell photosynthetic organisms.